

Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology

www.agrifoodscience.com, Turkish Science and Technology

# Effect of Different Doses of NK Chemical Fertilizers and Compost on Growth and Yield Attributes of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.)

Thayamini Harold Seran<sup>\*</sup>, Mohamed Saleem Mohamed Imthiyas

Dan	antre out o	f Cuon	Caiamaa	Faculto	$f \Lambda c$	miguiture	Eastown	I In in one it.	Charlada	C 2	Lanka
Den	arimeni o	(Crop)	science.	гасину (	л ау	riculture.	Eastern	University.	Спепканаах.	SIL	итка
			~~~~~	/ .	1 0						

ARTICLEINFO	A B S T R A C T
Article history: Received 06 December 2015 Accepted 03 May 2016 Available online, ISSN: 2148-127X	This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of NK chemical fertilizers in combination with compost on the growth and yield attributes of tomato ( <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill.). The pot experiment was done in a complete randomized block design possessing eight treatments with four replicates. Fruit weight, pulp weight, seed weight, total soluble solid, leaf area and dry weights of plat parts were taken and fruit yield was calculated. All
Keywords:	the collected data were subjected to statistical analysis. The results revealed that there were significant differences in fruit and seed weights, total soluble solid, pulp weight, 100 seed weight, pulp consistency, leaf area and crop residue. In these parameters, higher
Compost	mean values were recorded in chemical fertilizers (7.5 g N + 6 g $K_2O$ + 15 g $P_2O_5$ per m <sup>2</sup> )
Fruit yield	with compost (2 kg per $m^2$ ) than those in the chemical fertilizers applied alone (9.0 g N +
Pulp consistency	8 g K <sub>2</sub> O + 15 g P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> per m <sup>2</sup> as standard control). Total soluble solid and fruit yield were
Tomato	5.73 °brix and 3.21 kg/m <sup>2</sup> respectively in the chemical fertilizers with compost (7.5 g N +
Total soluble solid	$6 \text{ g } \text{K}_2\text{O} + 15 \text{ g } \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 + 2 \text{ kg compost per m}^2$ ) treated plants and these were statically on par with the standard control which gave 4.36 °brix total soluble solid and 3.05 kg/m <sup>2</sup> fruit yield. The result could be concluded that application of chemical fertilizers (7.5 g N
* Corresponding Author:	+ 6 g K <sub>2</sub> O + 15 g P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> per m <sup>2</sup> ) with compost (2 kg per m <sup>2</sup> ) could increase the total
E-mail: thayaminis@esn.ac.lk	soluble solid and fruit yield as well as reduce the usage of chemical fertilizers in tomato
	cultivation over the standard control in sandy regosol.

# Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is one of the vegetable crops and highly grown throughout the world. It is a significant cash crop for commercial farmers in Sri Lanka and a vital source of vitamin. Tomato crops are cultivated throughout the year to increase farmer's income however, adequate amount of quality seeds are required to achieve vigorous vegetative and reproductive growth for obtaining high quantities of fruit and seed yield. Generally farmers intend to increase crop production with extreme use of macronutrients however micronutrient supply is required to enhance the plant activities for increasing crop production. Therefore, application of fertilizers containing macro and micro nutrients is needed in tomato cultivation.

Kumar (2003) reported that application of 25 t/ha farm yard manure (FYM) + 150% NPK (150:112:82.5 kg/ha NPK) in tomato is the best for obtaining high growth, yield and quality of tomato. Excess and continuous use of chemical fertilizers in vegetable cultivation can lead to deteriorate the soil and nearby waterways (Aisha et al., 2007). Further, it may result in excess vegetative crop growth. To overcome these problems, the use of compost results in higher crop quality performances (Mehdizadeh et al., 2013) and it contains macronutrients, essential micronutrients and beneficial microorganisms (Natarjan, 2007; Sreenivasa et al, 2010). Incorporation of organic manure to soil boosts microbial activities towards increasing soil fertility and fertilizer use efficiency (Nanwai et al., 1998), increases the crop physiological function (Awad et al., 2002) and provides better performance in different stages of crop production. In viewing this concept, this study was aimed to evaluate the effect of NK chemical fertilizers and compost on the growth and yield performance of tomato in sandy regosol.

### **Materials and Methods**

In order to assess the effect of NK fertilizers and compost on the growth and yield attributes of tomato, an experiment was carried out at the Crop farm, Eastern University of Sri Lanka in 2013 and 2014. The experiment site is situated in the Eastern region of Sri Lanka where the annual rainfall ranges between 1600 to 2000 mm, annual mean temperature is from 28°C and 32°C and relative humidity ranges from 50% to 75%. The type of soil is sandy regosol. Soil and compost analysis were done on dry basis except pH and Electric conductivity. Kjeldahl and UV visible Spectrophotometer were used to determine quantities of Nitrogen and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> respectively. Atomic absorption spectrometry was used to analyze K<sub>2</sub>O, Ca, Mg, Cu Fe, Mn and Zn using HNO<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub> (9:4:1) acid digestion. The chemical properties of soil and compost were given in Table 1. Compost was made by incorporating cowdung: goatdung: paddy straw: gliricidia green leaves at equal weight basis.

The pot experiments were done in a complete randomized block design with eight treatments (Table 2) and three replications. Size of the polybags was 45 cm length and 30 cm diameter. Seeds of tomato cv KWR are sown in a nursery and four weeks old healthy seedlings were transplanted in the polybags which were arranged at a spacing of 80 cm between two rows and 50 cm within them. Two seedlings were placed at each polybag. Different levels of nitrogen and potassium from chemical fertilizers (urea and muriate of potash respectively) and compost were applied as a basal dressing prior to planting followed by topdressings of nitrogen fertilizer at 3<sup>rd</sup> week and 6<sup>th</sup> week after planting but potassium fertilizer at 6<sup>th</sup> week as described in Table 2. Compost was incorporated to the soil during the preparation of polybags. Basal application of phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ ) at a rate of 15 g/m<sup>2</sup> from triple superphosphate was applied to all plants except in treatment T1. Agronomic practices except nutrient management were followed according to the recommendation of the Department of Agriculture of Sri Lanka.

At weekly interval, fruits were harvested from 9<sup>th</sup> week onwards after transplanting it was continued till crop reached peak production which was four picks. Fruit weight, seed weight, leaf area, total soluble solid and other important growth and yield parameters were recorded meanwhile all the dry weights except fruit related dry weights were air dried for five days. Fruit and pulp were placed in an oven at a temperature of 70°C for 4 hour to determine the total solids of pulp and fruit but seeds were air dried for three days. Leaf area and total soluble solid were measured by using portable leaf area meter and refractometer respectively. All collected data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance and the treatment mean separation was done using Tukey's honestly different significance test at P<0.05 by using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software package.

Table 1 The chemical properties of soil and compost used in this experiment.

Chemical properties	Soil at a depth of 0-10 cm	Compost
Act C.E.C	6.3 meq/100 g	-
pН	5.7	7.7
Organic carbon	0.3 %	25.3 %
Electrical conductivity	42.3 μs cm <sup>-1</sup>	-
Nitrogen	10.0 µg/g	0.87 %
Phosphorus as P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	40.0 µg/g	0.82 %
Potassium as K <sub>2</sub> O	0.25 meq/100 g	0.79 %
Calcium	4.40 meq/100 g	1.02 %
Magnesium	1.27 meq/100 g	0.46 %
Sulphur as sulphate	24 µg/g	-
Boron	0.30 µg/g	-
Copper	7.0 μg/g	16 ppm
Iron	43 μg/g	4358 ppm
Manganese	9.0 μg/g	432 ppm
Zinc	3.7 μg/g	214 ppm

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Fruit and Pulp Weights

Table 3 shows that there were remarkable variations (P<0.01) in fresh weights of pulp and single fruit which were higher (31.24 g and 45.49 g respectively) in T6  $(7.5N+6K_2O+15P_2O_5+2compost)$  than those in the other treatments. Absolute control (T1) had significant difference (P<0.05) with T6 in these parameters but statically similar with the standard control (T2, 9N+8K<sub>2</sub>O+15P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) which produced 38.51 g fresh weight of single fruit. Pulp is the most important part in tomato fruit which is consumed and well eatable part with more preferable manner. T6 (7.5N+6K<sub>2</sub>O+15P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>+2compost) also improved pulp dry weight and its consistency % over the control. Pulp consistency % varied from 40.18% (T1) to 55.23% (T8, 6N+4K<sub>2</sub>O+15P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>+2compost) and it was not significantly different among the treatments except T1.

T6 had maximum mean value in dry weight of pulp but pulp consistency % showed higher mean value for T8 than the other treatments. The results obtained agreed with Salam et al. (2010) who stated that application of micronutrient with NPK fertilizer exhibited higher weights of tomato pulp and fruit over NPK fertilizer alone. Further, Dube et al. (2004) reported that the pericarp thickness of fruit was increased by application of micronutrients. Combined use of micro and macro nutrients improved dry matter contents of fruit and pulp (Balasubramaniam et al., 1998). In the present study, soil application of fertilizers containing micronutrient increased pulp and fruit weights of tomato than the control. Sufficient amount of nitrogen is required at the different growing stages of tomato plants to obtain maximum fruit production. As compared to chemical fertilizers, compost normally releases nutrients slowly and also provides macro and micronutrients. Application of compost to soil increases organic matter content and provides favourable soil condition for higher crop yield (Amanullah et al., 2006).

### Total Soluble Solid and Seed Weight

Total soluble solid (TSS) is the important parameter in tomato fruit. T6 and T8 were statistically similar in TSS and T6 showed higher mean value (5.73) of TSS and significantly differed from all other treatments except T8 (Table 4). This finding is conformity with De la Cruz-Lazaro et al. (2010) who stated that in tomato, application of organic fertilizers give better quality fruit in terms of soluble solids. Mahadeen (2009) reported that combined application of both organic and chemical fertilizers had no effect on total soluble solids in Strawberry where poultry manure was applied as organic fertilizer. In present study, the TSS was increased by the application of chemical fertilizers in combination with compost. Incorporation of compost to soil increases nutrients and organic matter and also enhances the soil microbial activity. It may be the reason for increase in the TSS. Sturm et al. (2003) reported that total sugars and organic acids comprise the major part of total soluble solids.

	1	Basal dressing	a	Top dressing		Total nutrients applied
Treatments	Ν	K <sub>2</sub> O	Compost	Ν	K <sub>2</sub> O	$[N (g/m^2) + K_2O (g/m^2) +$
	$(g/m^2)$	$(g/m^2)$	$(kg/m^2)$	$(g/m^2)$	$(g/m^2)$	Compost (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )]
T1	-	-	-	-	-	-
T2	3.0	4	-	6	4	9.0 N+8 K <sub>2</sub> O
T3	1.5	2	1	3	2	4.5 N+4 K <sub>2</sub> O+1 compost
T4	1.5	2	1	6	4	7.5 N+6 K <sub>2</sub> O+1 compost
T5	1.5	2	2	3	2	4.5 N+4 K <sub>2</sub> O+2 compost
T6	1.5	2	2	6	4	7.5 N+6 K <sub>2</sub> O+2 compost
Τ7	-	-	2	3	2	3.0 N+2 K <sub>2</sub> O+2 compost
T8	-	-	2	6	4	6.0 N+4 K <sub>2</sub> O+2 compost

Table 2 Total nutrients applied to plants in each treatment.

In this experiment, basal application of phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ ) at a rate of 15 g/m<sup>2</sup> from triple superphosphate was applied to all plants except in Treatment T1. Different levels of nitrogen and potassium were applied from urea and muriate of potash respectively where half dose of nitrogen fertilizer as top dressing was applied at 3<sup>rd</sup> week after planting and balance half was done at 6<sup>th</sup> week. T1- absolute control, T2- standard control

Table 2 Effect of combined and of		hand male marks has and also a	1
Table 5 Effect of combined use of	Diant nutrients on irui	t and build weights and also i	build consistency of tomato.
		· ····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ····································

Traatmants	Fresh weight of single	Pulp weight of single	Pulp weight of single	Pulp consistency
Treatments	fruit (g)	fruit (fresh basis) (g)	fruit (dry basis) (g)	(%)
T1	13.52 <sup>e</sup>	10.85 <sup>c</sup>	4.36 <sup>c</sup>	$40.18^{\circ}$
T2	38.51 <sup>ab</sup>	30.54 <sup>a</sup>	15.51 <sup>a</sup>	$50.78^{\mathrm{ab}}$
T3	21.99 <sup>ed</sup>	17.60 <sup>bc</sup>	9.28 <sup>b</sup>	$51.84^{ab}$
T4	34.56 <sup>bc</sup>	24.97 <sup>ab</sup>	13.23 <sup>a</sup>	$52.58^{ab}$
T5	29.19 <sup>bcd</sup>	$21.41^{\text{abc}}$	11.25 <sup>ab</sup>	$52.54^{ab}$
T6	$45.49^{a}$	31.24 <sup>a</sup>	15.92 <sup>a</sup>	$50.96^{\mathrm{ab}}$
Τ7	27.22 <sup>cd</sup>	$19.86^{\mathrm{abc}}$	10.53 <sup>ab</sup>	53.02 <sup>a</sup>
T8	37.69 <sup>ab</sup>	$27.99^{ab}$	$15.66^{a}$	55.23 <sup>a</sup>
F test	**	**	**	**
CV %	10.72	21.43	15.53	12.25

F test: - \*\* P<0.01. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's test at 5% significant level.

Table 4 Effect of combined use of plant nutrients on seed %, total soluble solid, seed weight and hundred seed weight of tomato.

Treatments	Seed %	Total soluble solid (°brix)	Seed weight of single fruit (air dry basis) (g)	100 seed weight (g)
T1	1.51 <sup>b</sup>	2.23 <sup>e</sup>	0.16 <sup>d</sup>	0.18 <sup>e</sup>
T2	$1.68^{ab}$	4.36 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.61 <sup>bc</sup>	$0.32^{bc}$
T3	$1.81^{ab}$	$3.26^{\text{ed}}$	0.41 <sup>c</sup>	$0.25^{d}$
T4	$2.77^{ab}$	$4.46^{\mathrm{bc}}$	0.65 <sup>b</sup>	$0.29^{cd}$
T5	$2.77^{ab}$	$4.40^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$0.56^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$0.29^{cd}$
T6	3.31 <sup>ab</sup>	5.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.38 <sup>a</sup>
T7	3.55 <sup>a</sup>	3.80 <sup>cd</sup>	$0.59^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$0.26^{d}$
T8	$2.85^{ab}$	$5.20^{ab}$	$0.74^{ab}$	$0.36^{ab}$
F test	*	**	**	**
CV%	27.93	7.52	20.45	6.32
E + + + D -0.05 ++ D -0	01 Marsus falland	1 1 1 1		50/ 1 1

F test: \*- P<0.05, \*\*- P<0.01. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's test at 5% significant level.

There was significant difference (P<0.05) in seed % among the treatments. In 100 seed weight, T6 and T8 were statically on par meanwhile T6 had higher mean value and significantly varied from the other treatments. Seed dry weight per fruit was recorded maximum value in T6 (0.83 g) followed by T8 (0.74 g) which was statistically similar value but T2 had 0.61 g seed weight. Hundred seed weight and self life of tomato are improved by the proper application of macro and micro nutrients (Bajpai et al., 2001; Davis et al., 2003). Balanced

application of fertilizers plays a major role in fruit and seed production. In general, compost improves physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil. Hence, the use of chemical fertilizer with organic manure increased the seed yield.

#### Growth Parameters

Leaf area showed significant difference (P<0.05) among the treatments. It was considerably higher (3164.33 cm<sup>2</sup>) in T6 than that in the other treatments. In

general, photosynthetic rate increases when leaf area increases. In performance of leaf area, T2 was statically similar with T4. T1 had lower values in all parameters indicated in Table 5 but T6 exhibited higher value with all other treatments. There were remarkable differences (P<0.01) in air dry weights of leaves, stem and root. T4 and T6 showed significant variation (P<0.05) in air dry weight of leaves meanwhile T2 and T4 exhibited similar effect. T6 had considerably higher value (54.66 g) of crop residue among the treatments. It ranged from 54.66 g to 14.09 g. Incorporation of compost normally boosts the number of leaves and leaf area in tomato plants and root biomass of the tomato plants was increased by the addition of compost to the potting media of tomatoes (Lazcano et al., 2009) meanwhile compost enhances the shoot dry weight than chemical fertilizer alone in tomato plants (Ibrahim and Fadni, 2013). Gad et al. (2007) stated that farmyard manure significantly enhanced fresh and dry weights of shoots and roots of tomato.

# Fruit Yield Attributes

Table 6 shows that there were highly significant differences (P<0.01) in fruit diameter, fruit weight and fruit yield. Fruit is the topmost important part in tomato it shows statistically no considerable variations between T2, T6 and T8 in total fresh and dry weights of fruits per plant. The highest fresh (534.85 g) and dry (289.31 g) weights of fruits per plant were recorded in T6. In fruit

diameter, T2, T4 and T6 shows similar performances but T8 differed remarkably (P<0.05) from T6 in their mean values of fruit diameter. Increasing diameter consists of larger amount of total solids in tomato fruit. The average fruit diameter ranged from 2.93 cm (T1) to 5.00 cm (T6). Compost application increases the weight of fruits per plant (Durdane et al., 2011). 100% nitrogen mineral fertilizer or 25% poultry manure + 75% nitrogen mineral fertilizer produces highest yield and fruit quality (Glala et al., 2013)

In case of fruit setting %, all treatments except T1 had statistically similar but higher mean value was reported in T8 (38.13%) followed by T4 (36.32%), T5 (35.48%) and T6 (35.35%). Organic manure contains high amount of organic matter which improves the water holing capacity and soil microbial activity which may increase the fruit set and subsequent fruit development. Blossom drop is a common problem in tomato. It may be due to environmental factors and cultural practices. Macro and micronutrients play an important role in fruit setting and production of tomato. Therefore, the incorporation of compost to soil enhanced the fruit setting %. In the present study, minimum and maximum fruit yield per m<sup>2</sup> were recorded in  $T_1$  (0.54 kg) and  $T_6$  (3.21 kg) respectively. T6 was statistically on par with T2 (3.05 kg) and T8 (2.74 kg). Ali et al. (2013) sated that application of macro and micro nutrients increases the fruit weight and fruit yield.

Table 5 Effect of combined use of plant nutrients on leaf area, dry weights of plant parts and crop residue of tomato

Treatments	Leaf area	Air dry weight of	Air dry weight of	Air dry weight of	Crop residue
	$(cm^2)$	leaves (g)	stem (g)	root (g)	(g)
T1	868.33 <sup>e</sup>	6.63 <sup>e</sup>	5.83 <sup>f</sup>	1.64 <sup>g</sup>	14.09f
T2	2286.33 <sup>c</sup>	17.98 <sup>c</sup>	$20.08^{ab}$	$5.82^{cd}$	43.88 <sup>c</sup>
T3	$1814.00^{d}$	$14.14^{d}$	13.26 <sup>de</sup>	3.63 <sup>f</sup>	31.03e
T4	2402.67 <sup>c</sup>	20.35 <sup>bc</sup>	18.33 <sup>c</sup>	5.94 <sup>cb</sup>	44.62 <sup>c</sup>
T5	1961.67 <sup>d</sup>	$18.05^{\circ}$	17.95 <sup>cd</sup>	$5.56^{de}$	41.56d
T6	3164.33 <sup>a</sup>	25.34 <sup>a</sup>	22.14 <sup>a</sup>	$7.18^{\rm a}$	54.66 <sup>a</sup>
Τ7	2145.67 <sup>d</sup>	19.91 <sup>c</sup>	16.62 <sup>d</sup>	5.43 <sup>e</sup>	41.96 <sup>d</sup>
T8	2769.67 <sup>b</sup>	23.66 <sup>ab</sup>	$21.42^{ab}$	6.82 <sup>b</sup>	51.90 <sup>b</sup>
F test	**	**	**	**	**
CV%	3.56	7.4	7.74	6.28	4.54

F test: \*\*- P<0.01. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's test at 5% significant level.

Table 6 Effect of combined use of	plant nutrients on fre	sh and dry weights of fruits	per plant and frui	t vield of tomato
		2 0	1 1	2

Treatments	Fruit setting	Fruit diameter	Fresh weight of	Dry weight of fruits	Fruit yield	
	%	(cm)	fruits per plant (g)	per plant (g)	$(kg/m^2)$	
T1	13.91 <sup>b</sup>	2.93 <sup>e</sup>	90.26 <sup>d</sup>	47.04 <sup>d</sup>	$0.54^{\rm e}$	
T2	$26.44^{ab}$	4.83 <sup>ab</sup>	508.18 <sup>a</sup>	278.43 <sup>a</sup>	3.05 <sup>a</sup>	
T3	$24.90^{ab}$	$4.20^{cd}$	246.58c	138.44c	$1.48^{dc}$	
T4	36.32 <sup>a</sup>	4.73 <sup>abc</sup>	414.46b	227.44b	$2.49^{b}$	
T5	35.48 <sup>a</sup>	3.86 <sup>d</sup>	378.15 <sup>b</sup>	212.37 <sup>b</sup>	$2.39^{bc}$	
Τ6	35.35 <sup>a</sup>	$5.00^{a}$	534.85 <sup>a</sup>	289.31 <sup>a</sup>	3.21 <sup>a</sup>	
Τ7	32.74 <sup>a</sup>	4.03 <sup>d</sup>	335.15 <sup>bc</sup>	176.18 <sup>bc</sup>	2.01 <sup>c</sup>	
T8	38.13 <sup>a</sup>	4.36 <sup>bcd</sup>	456.05ab	249.33 <sup>ab</sup>	$2.74^{\mathrm{ab}}$	
F test	*	**	**	**	**	
CV %	21.73	4.85	11.66	12.37	4.85	

F test: \*\*- P<0.01. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's test at 5% significant level.

# Conclusion

This study revealed that there were highly significant differences (P<0.01) in most of the growth and yield parameters of tomato. T2 (9.0 g N + 8 g K<sub>2</sub>O + 15 g P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per m<sup>2</sup>), T6 (7.5 g N + 6 g K<sub>2</sub>O + 15 g P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 2 kg compost per m<sup>2</sup>) and T8 (6.0 g N + 4 g K<sub>2</sub>O + 15 g P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 2 kg compost per  $m^2$ ) showed similar performances in fruit weight, pulp weight and fruit yield. But T6 showed better performance in most of the parameters and had the higher mean values than the other treatments and also basal application of recommended doses of NK chemical fertilizers could be reduced by 50% in T6 treatment as compared with standard control (T2) ie chemical fertilizers alone. Hence, application of chemical fertilizers  $(7.5 \text{ g N} + 6 \text{ g K}_2\text{O} + 15 \text{ g P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ per m}^2)$  with compost (2) kg per  $m^2$ ) could be done to obtain higher fruit yield over chemical fertilizers alone in tomato cultivation.

## References

- Aisha AH, Rizk FA, Shaheen AM, Abdel-Mouty MM. 2007. Onion plant growth, bulbs yield and its physical and chemical properties as affected by organic and natural fertilization. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 3:5, 380-388.
- Ali S, Javed HU, Rehman RNU, Sabir IA, Naeem MS, Siddiqui MZ, Saeed DA, Nawaz MA. 2013. Foliar application of some macro and micro nutrients improves tomato growth, flowering and yield. Int. J. Biosci., 3:10, 280-287.
- Amanullah MM, Vaiyapuri K. and Alagesan A. 2006. Effect of intercropping and organic manures on the yield and biological efficiency of cassava intercropping system (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 2:5, 201-208.
- Awad AM, Tartoura EA, Elfouly HM, Fattah AI. 2002. Response of potato growth, yield and quality to farmyard manure sulphur and gypsum levels application. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf. Hort. Sci., El-Sheikh, Tanta University, Egypt, pp: 24-39.
- Bajpai S, Chouhan SVS, Bajpai S. 2001. Effect of zinc, boron and manganese on yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentum* L.). Indian J. Agric. Sci., 71:5, 332-333.
- Balasubramaniam P, Mani AK, Duraisamy P, Kandaswami M. 1998. Effect of organic and inorganic nutrients on the yield and uptake of tomato. South Indian Hort., 46:3-6, 143-147.
- Davis TM, Sanders DC, Nelson PV, Lengnick L, Sperry WJ. 2003. Boron improves growth, yield, quality and nutrient content of tomato. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci., 128:3, 441-446.

- De la Cruz-Lazaro E, Osorio-Osorio R, Martinez-Moreno E, Del Rio AJL, Gomez-Vazquez A, Sanchez-Hernandez R. 2010. Use of composts and vermicomposts for organic production of tomato in greenhouses. Interciencia 35: 363-368.
- Dube BK, Pratima S, Chatterjee C. 2004. Effects of boron and zinc on the yield and quality of tomato. Indian J. Hort., 61:1, 48-52.
- Durdane Y, Naif G, Yusuf Y, Mine A, Perihan C. 2011. Effect of different organic fertilizers on yield and fruit quality of indeterminate tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.). Sci. Res. Essays, 6:17, 3623-3628.
- Gad AA, Ghamriny EA, Bardisi A, Shazly AA. 2007. Effect of farmyard manure and mineral nitrogen sources and rates on dry weight, photosynthetic pigments and yield of tomato grown in sandy soil. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 34:5, 845- 869.
- Glala AAA, Neama M, Marzouk MSS, Al-Bassyuni, Nagwa, Hassan M.K. 2013. Influence of Organic Nitrogen Fertilizers Replacement Rates Associated with *Azosprillum spp*, Enrichment on Tomato. J. Appl. Sci. Res., 9:3, 1952-1959.
- Ibrahim KHM, Fadni OAS. 2013. Effect of Organic Fertilizers Application on Growth, Yield and Quality of Tomatoes in North Kordofan (sandy soil) western Sudan. Greener J. Agric. Sci., 3:4, 299-304.
- Kumar P. 2003. Effect of integrated nutrient management on sustainable cabbage and tomato production. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Vegetable Crops, Dr. YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan. Pp: 282.
- Lazcano C, Arnold J, Tato A, Zaller JG, Domínguez J. 2009. Compost and vermicompost as nursery pot components: effects on tomato plant growth and morphology. Spanish J. Agric. Res., 7:4, 944-951.
- Mahadeen AY. 2009. Influence of organic and chemical fertilization on fruit yield and quality of plastic-house grown strawberry. Jordan J. Agric. Sci. 5:2,167-176.
- Mehdizadeh M, Darbandi EI, Naseri-Rad H, Tobeh A. 2013. Growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) as influenced by different organic fertilizers. Int. J. Agron. Plant Prod., 4:4, 734-738.
- Nanwai RK, Sharma BD, Taneja KD. 1998. Role of organic and inorganic fertilizers for maximizing wheat (Triticum) aestivum yield in sandy loam soils. Crop res. Hisar, 16:2, 159-161.
- Natarajan K. 2007. Panchagavya for plant. Proc. Nation. Conf. Glory Gomatha, S. V. Veterinary University, Tirupati, pp: 72-75.
- Salam MA, Siddique MA, Rahim MA, Rahman MA, Saha MG. 2010. Quality of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) as Influenced by Boron and Zinc under Different Levels of NPK Fertilizers. Bangladesh J. Agric. Res., 35:3, 475-488.
- Sreenivasa MN, Nagaraj MN, Bhat SN. 2010. Beejamruth: A source for beneficial bacteria. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 17:3, 72-77.
- Sturm K, Koron D and Stampar F. 2003. The composition of fruit of different strawberry varieties depending on maturity stage. Food Chem., 83: 417-422.