

Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology

Available online, ISSN: 2148-127X www.agrifoodscience.com, Turkish Science and Technology

Determination of Resistance Cumhuriyet-75 and Selimiye-95 Wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.) Varieties Against to Some Abiotic Stress Factories

Nezahat Turfan^{1*}, Ekrem Mutlu²

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Kastamonu University, 37200 Kastamonu, Turkey ²Aquaculture Department, Faculty of Fisheries, Kastamonu University, 37200 Kastamonu, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

In this study, resistance mechanism of two wheat genotypes against salt, heavy metal, **Research Articles** lime and drought (50%) treatments were investigated in summer Cumhuriyet-75 and winter Selimiye-95. According to results chlorophyll a, b, total chlorophyll and Received 09 March 2018 carotenoid level increased in FeCl3, drought and 225 mM NaCl in Cumhuriyet-75 but Accepted 18 March 2018 they were higher at NaCl, FeCl₃ and ZnCl₂ treatments in Selimiye-95 comparison to control. While H₂O₂ content rose all stres treatments in both varieties but Keywords: Malondialdehyde (MDA) decreased in Selimiye with all applications. The amount of Abiotic Stresses proline is lower in Cumhuiyet-75 but higher in Selimiye-95. Total soluble protein was Cumhuriyet-75 found higher at salt concentartion and drought in both varieties. Ascorbate peroxidase Selimiye-95 (APX), Süperoxide dismutase (SOD) activity increased in salt and FeCl₃ in Selimiye-95 Tolerance but SOD ativity were higher at salt treatments in Cumhuriyet-75. And also in both varieties APX and Guaiacol peroxidase (GuPX) increased at FeCl3 but Catalase (CAT) *Corresponding Author: were higher in only FeCl3 in Cumhuriyet-75. As a result Selimiye-95 showed tolerance to salt and FeCl3 with high photosynthetic pigment, proline and soluble protein content with E-mail: nturfan@kastamonu.edu.tr lower MDA but it is sensitive to NiCl₂ and drought. Whereas Cumhuriyet-75 cultivar is resistan to drought, FeCl3 and 225 mM NaCl depended on pigment, protein content and APX, CAT, GuPX and SOD activities. When all the data are taken into consideration, it was concluded that the responses of the varieties to the treatments changed according to the type and concentration of stress, and Selimiye-95 variety was tolerant compared to Cumhuriyet-75 variety.

Türk Tarım - Gıda Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi, 6(7): 923-929, 2018

Cumhuriyet-75 ve Selimiye-95 Buğday Çeşitlerinin Bazı Abiyotik Stres Faktörlerine Toleranslarının Belirlenmes

MAKALE BİLGİSİ	ÖZET
AraştırmaMakalesi	Bu çalışmada Cumhuriyet-75 ve Selimiye-95 buğday çeşitlerinin tuz, ağır metal, kireç ve kurak (%50) uygulamalarına toleransları araştırılmıştır. Bulgulara göre Cumhuriyet-75'te klarafil b. tarakım klarafil ve karatencit miltere FaCh, kurak ve 225 mM NaC
Geliş 09 Mart 2018 Kabul 18 Mart 2018	klorofil a, klorofil b, toplam klorofil ve karotenoit miktarı FeCl ₃ , kurak ve 225 mM NaCl uygulamalarında kontrole göre artış gösterirken Selimiye-95'te NaCl konsantrasyonları, FeCl ₃ ve ZnCl ₂ uygulamalarında en yüksek değere ulaşmıştır. Hidrojen peroksit miktarı
Anahtar Kelimeler: Abiyotik Stres Cumhuriyet-75 Selimiye-95 Tolerans	(H ₂ O ₂) miktarı her iki buğday çeşidinde yüksektir ancak malondialdehit (MDA) miktarı Selimiye-95'te tüm uygulama gruplarında azalmıştır. Prolin içeriği Cumhuriyet'te tüm uygulama gruplarında azalırken Selimiye-95'te artmıştır. Toplam çözünür protein her iki buğday çeşidinde NaCl konsantrasyonları ve kuraklık uygulamasında yüksek bulunmuştur. Askorbat peroksidaz (APX) ve Süperoksit dismutaz (SOD) aktivitesi Selimiye-95'te NaCl ve FeCl ₃ uygulamasında, Cumhuriyet-75'te ise SOD aktivitesi NaCl uygulamalarında yüksek bulunmuştur. Ayrıca APX ve Guaiakol peroksidaz (GuPX) aktivitesi her iki çeşitte FeCl ₃ uygulamasında artış gösterirken, CAT aktivitesi sadece
[*] Sorumlu Yazar: E-mail: nturfan@kastamonu.edu.tr	 Cumhuriyet-75'te FeCl3 uygulamasında yüksektir. Sonuç olarak Selimiye-95 buğday çeşidi yüksek pigment içeriği, prolin, çözünür protein ve düşük MDA değeri ile NaCl konsantrasyonları ve FeCl3 uygulamalarına tolerans gösterirken, NiCl2 ve kuraklık uygulamalarına ise duyarlı bulunmuştur. Cumhuriyet-75 çeşidi pigment, protein miktarı ve APX, Katalaz (CAT), GuPX ve SOD aktivite değerlerine bağlı olarak kuraklık, FeCl3 ve 225 mM NaCl uygulamalarına dayanıklıdır. Tüm veriler değerlendirildiğine çeşitlerin stres uygulamalarına tepkisi stresin çeşidi ve konsantrasyonuna göre değiştiği ve ayrıca Selimiye-95 çeşidinin Cumhuriyet-75'e göre dayanıklı olduğu sonucuna varılmıştır.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v6i7.923-929.1899

Introduction

Wheat ranks first in terms of area of planting and production in field crops due to its being a good source of nutrients, its broad adaptation limits and ease of transport, storage and processing. Wheat is grown in almost every part of our country however most of the wheat grown in our country (80%) is grown under rain-dependent conditions. Moreover, the general warmt and drought climatic conditions of our country create the ideal environment for salinity and barrenness formation (Öztürk and Aydın, 2004; Başer et al., 2005). The accumulation of zinc, iron, lead, cadmium, nickel and other heavy metals in dense industrial zones close to cultivated lands affect crop production by leading to heavy metal toxicity. As is seen, wheat production areas are not uniform, some factors required for growth and development are wanting while some factors are far from being optimal. The cited conditions affect wheat production and cause loss of quality and efficiency (Gupta et al., 2011; Öz et al., 2016). As such, it is very important to rehabilitate and utilize such agricultural areas in an economic and economical way. Due to the increased nutrient requirements and the limited availability of agricultural lands in parallel with population increase, selecting genotypes with high tolerance to stress factors in the regions where salinity, lime/drought and heavy metal toxicity are dominant will contribute to more efficient utilization of existing land resources. Determination of morphological parameters as well as physiological measurements in the varieties in order to determine the mechanism of resistance to stress factors will enable more accurate steps to be taken for determining the appropriate species and varieties. It is reported that changes in photosynthetic activity are the main factors that decrease yield and quality in wheat within this context (Makino, 2001; Molas, 2006). Öncel and Keleş (2002), Blake et al. (2007), Razi et al. (2016) have reported that abiotic stress conditions, salinity, heavy metal toxicity and drought change the leaf morphology of wheat and causes oxidative stress in the leaf tissue thereby damaging the chloroplast membrane respectively. While decrease in area and length of leaf cause reduction of the photosynthesis capacity, oxidative stress leads to degradation of chloroplast structure thereby breaking up the pigments, reducing enzyme activities responsible for chlorophyll synthesis and consequently decreasing the amount of photosynthetic pigments (Hernandez et a 2001; Cimen et al., 2013). The amounts of proline (Chen et al., 2001), total soluble protein (Nagoor, 1999; Sharma and Dietz, 2006), soluble carbohydrates and starch (Pattanagul and Thitisaksakul, 2008) also vary in wheat under stress conditions. Furthermore, stress conditions increase the accumulation of ROS such as hydrogen peroxide, hydroxy radicals, singlet oxygen and superoxide anions (Kholová et al., 2010) Excessive ROS accumulation causes excessive accumulation of malondialdehyde (MDA) which induces peroxidation in membrane lipids causing protein, DNA, RNA denaturation (Neto et al., 2006). Researchers have reported that the activity of enzymes such as ascorbate peroxidase (APX), catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and peptidase (POD) have a role in reducing oxidative stress and lipid

peroxidation damage in tolerant species (Terzi and Yıldız, 2013). That said, yield and quality in wheat vary according to the ecological structure of the region and the applied cultivation processes (Zheng et al., 2009; Waters et al., 2009). Effects of salt, heavy metals, drought and lime treatments in green parts photosynthetic pigments, proline, total soluble protein, MDA, H₂O₂ amount and APX, CAT, GuPX and SOD activities of summer Cumhuriyet-75 and winter Selimiye-95 variety wheat bread genotypes have been researched in this study.

Material and Method

Plant Material

Cumhuriyet-75 (bread type) variety registered by the Ege (Agean) Institute of Agricultural Research in 1976 and Selimiye-95 (bread) variety produced by crossbreeding registered Trakya (Thrace) Institute of Agricultural Research in 2009 were used in the study. Cumhuriyet-75 is a summer type spiny wheat which that the biggest grain among the white wheat varieties. Its planting is recommended in coastal zones and rural and basal areas. Selimiye-95 is a winter variety without spines. It is recommended for regions where planting is made in winter.

Planting Operations of Varieties

The study was launched in 3rd week of October. 25 seeds were planted in a pot with three replications for each treatment group in each pot. The pots have a volume of 5 L in and consist of a mixture in ration of garden turf: peat: sand (1: 1: 1). Salt treatment (75 mM, 150 mM and 225 mM NaCl), heavy metal treatment (0.2 mg/L in the form of FeCl₃, NiCl₂ and ZnCl₂) and lime treatment (2 mg/L in the form of CaCO₃) were solubilized in tap water with ds 0.04 and with freshly prepared solutions each time. For 50% drought treatments trap water was used 275 ml kg⁻¹. The treatments were made while the seedlings were at 4-5 leaf stage by treatment of twice a week for week five weeks. After five weeks, the fully expanded leaf was randomly collected. In leaf sample photosynthetic pigments as chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenid, lipid peroxidation level (malondialdehyde-MDA), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), glucose and starch amount as well as APX, CAT, GuPX and SOD activities were determined.

Chemical Analyzes

Chlorophyll content of the leaves was measured by the method of Arnon (1949). Carotenoid amount was estimated by Jaspars Formulated according to the method Witham et al. (1971). Proline content was determined according to the modified method of Bates et al. (1973). Total soluble protein contents were determined according to the method of Bradford (1976) using the Bio-Rad assay kit with bovine serum albumin as a calibration standard. The level of lipid peroxidation products was determined and expressed as MDA content according to Luts et al. (1996). Hydrogen peroxide in the plant samples was determined by the method of Velikova et al. (2000).

The extracts were prepared from first three leaves of the plants which were treated by control and stress. Accordingly, nearly 0.5 g fresh leaf samples were homogenized with 50 mM (pH 7.6) phosphate buffer solution (10 mL) ground in liquid nitrogen and containing 0.1 mM Na-EDTA (Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid). The homogenized samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 15000 g and +4 °C, and then the enzyme activities in the resulting supernatant were determined according to the methods of Çakmak (1994). Catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), guaiacol peroxidase (GuPX) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities were measured according to the methods of Bergmeyer (1974), Nakano and Asada (1981), Chance and Maehley (1995) and respectively under nitro blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) light by 0_2^- reduction

Statistical Analysis of Data

The statistical analysis of the data obtained as a result of the study was conducted according to the ANOVA and Tukey tests at 95% confidence interval by virtue of the SPSS 20 program.

Results

Photosynthetic pigments showed differences basing on wheat varieties and stress treatments. While chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid levels increased in drought, FeCl₃, 225 mM and 75 mM NaCl compared to control group but it was lower in other treatments in Cumhuriyet-75. The lowest values of those pigments were obtained with NiCl₂, CaCO₃ and ZnCl₂ applications in orderly (Table 1). It was found that the amount of chlorophyll a was lowest value in 75 mM NaCl while chlorophyll b content lowered in drought, NiCl₂ and CaCO₃ treatments in Selimiye-95 respectively. Whereas total chlorophyll content increased with salts, FeCl₃ and ZnCl₂ treatments but increased in drought and NiCl₂. And also total carotenoid amount was lower at CaCO₃ only in Selimiye-95 (Table 1).

The proline content of Cumhuriyet-75 decreased in all stress treatments but it was found higher in Selimiye-95 with respect to control plants (Table 2). In Cumhuriyet-75 the amount of proline was lowest in 50 % drought (53.64%), in ZnCl₂ (45.26%), in NiCl₂ (44.92%). But the highest proline values were determined in CaCO₃ (74.62 %), FeCl₃ (64.13 %), NiCl₂ (50.22 %) in Selimiye-95 (Table 2). In Cumhuriyet the total soluble protein content was found lower in NiCl₂, ZnCl₂ and CaCO₃ but it was lowest in only heavy metals treated plants in Selimiye-95 variety compared to control seedling (Table 2). Increasing in the content of soluble protein was determined in 150 mM NaCl as 94.35 %, in 225 mM NaCl as 90.5 %, 75 mM NaCl as 74.46 % and in FeCl3 as14.2% for Cumhuriyet-75 (Table 2). In Selimiye-96 content of protein increased with salt concentration. The lowest amount of protein was recorded in NiCl₂ (31.28%), FeCl₃ (17.49%) and ZnCl₂ (9.97%) treatments (Table 2).

Table 1 Effects of salt, heavy metals, lime and drought (50%) treatments in clorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll, ratio of chlorophyll a/ chlorophyll b and carotenoids content in the Cumhuriyet-75 and Selimiye-95 variety.

chlorophyn, fatto of	6,7	1 2	Cumhuriyet	J	<i>.</i>	
Т	Chl a	Chl b	Tot. Chl	Chl a/b	Tot.Car.	
Control	$0.152{\pm}0.001^{d}$	$0.104{\pm}0.0004^{\rm f}$	0.256±0.0001e	1.459±0.010 ^{cd}	8.01 ± 0.02^{d}	
75 mM	0.153±0.001e	$0.096 {\pm} 0.0003^{d}$	$0.249{\pm}0.0004^{d}$	$1.582{\pm}0.010^{d}$	$8.01{\pm}0.02^{d}$	
150 mM	0.151 ± 0.001^{d}	0.099±0.0003 ^e	$0.250{\pm}0.0003^{d}$	1.528 ± 0.010^{cd}	$7.96{\pm}0.01^{d}$	
225 mM	0.153±0.001e	$0.108{\pm}0.0004^{g}$	$0.260{\pm}0.0005^{\rm f}$	1.413±0.001°	$7.86{\pm}0.01^{d}$	
FeCl ₃	0.154±0.001e	$0.154{\pm}0.0001^{h}$	$0.307{\pm}0.0003^{h}$	1.000 ± 0.001^{b}	8.26±0.03 ^e	
NiCl2	$0.094{\pm}0.001^{a}$	$0.032{\pm}0.0005^{a}$	$0.126{\pm}0.0004^{a}$	$2.980{\pm}0.060^{g}$	$5.87{\pm}0.01^{a}$	
ZnCl ₂	0.117±0.001°	$0.047 \pm 0.0004^{\circ}$	0.164±0.0004°	2.511±0.040 ^e	$6.86 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	
CaCO ₃	$0.107 {\pm} 0.001^{b}$	$0.041{\pm}0.0004^{b}$	0.147 ± 0.0003^{b}	2.645 ± 0.040^{f}	6.28±0.01 ^b	
Drought	$0.156{\pm}0.001^{\rm f}$	$0.107{\pm}0.0006^{g}$	0.262 ± 0.0004^{g}	1.457±0.010 ^{cd}	$8.50{\pm}0.02^{e}$	
F	5787.79	18102.58	51951.91	833.42	467.96	
Sig.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Т	Selimiye					
1	Chl a	Chl b	Tot. Chl	Chl a/b	Tot.Car.	
Control	$0.162{\pm}0.0005^{b}$	0.192±0.0002°	0.353±0.0001°	0.844±0.001e	$9.11{\pm}0.005^{a}$	
75 mM	$0.159{\pm}0.0002^{a}$	$0.294{\pm}0.0001^{h}$	$0.452{\pm}0.0001^{h}$	$0.540{\pm}0.001^{a}$	$9.46{\pm}0.004^{d}$	
150 mM	0.165±0.0002°	0.232±0.0001e	0.397±0.0001e	$0.710{\pm}0.001^{d}$	$9.20{\pm}0.004^{b}$	
225 mM	$0.164 \pm 0.0002^{\circ}$	$0.253{\pm}0.0001^{\rm f}$	0.417 ± 0.0001^{f}	0.650±0.001°	9.26±0.136°	
FeCl ₃	0.161 ± 0.0005^{b}	0.274 ± 0.0002^{g}	$0.435{\pm}0.0003^{h}$	$0.589 {\pm} 0.003^{b}$	9.30±0.003°	
NiCl2	0.166±0.0002°	$0.175 {\pm} 0.0002^{b}$	$0.341 {\pm} 0.0002^{b}$	$0.953{\pm}0.002^{g}$	$9.42{\pm}0.029^{d}$	
ZnCl ₂	0.166±0.0005°	0.229±0.0005e	0.395±0.0005e	$0.724{\pm}0.001^{d}$	$9.23 {\pm} 0.004^{b}$	
CaCO ₃	$0.169{\pm}0.0001^{d}$	0.189±0.0004°	0.358±0.0003°	$0.893{\pm}0.002^{\rm f}$	$9.05{\pm}0.029^{a}$	
Drought	$0.161 {\pm} 0.0002^{b}$	$0.155{\pm}0.0002^{a}$	$0.315{\pm}0.0002^{a}$	$1.039{\pm}0.003^{h}$	9.54±0.004 ^e	
F	90.67	355.14	427.58	203.02	13.16	
Sig.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

T: Treatments, Chl a: Clorophyll a (mg/g), Chl b: Clorophyll b (mg/g), Tot.Chl: Total chlorophyll (mg/g), Chl a/b: Ratio of chlorophyll a/ chlorophyll b, Tot. Car.: Total carotenoids content (mg/g), * The difference between the averages indicated by the same letter in the same column are not important (P<0.05).

Table 2 Effects of salt,	, heavy metals, lime	e and drought (50%) treatments on	proline, total soluble protein,	
malondialdehyde (MDA)and hydrogen peroxide (H ₂ O ₂) in the Cumhuriyet-75 and Selimiye-95 variety.					

Т	Cumhuriyet			
1	Prolin (µmol/g)	Protein (mg/g)	MDA (µmol/g)	$H_2O_2(\mu mol/g)$
Control	52.96±0.17 ^{g*}	25.5±0.23°	3.19±0.08 ^a	15.77±0.11ª
75 mM	45.21±0.02 ^e	44.4±0.21 ^e	5.07 ± 0.07^{d}	24.53±0.15°
150 mM	$34.97{\pm}0.02^{d}$	49.5±0.12 ^g	4.94±0.13 ^b	$59.60{\pm}0.09^{ m f}$
225 mM	$33.27 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	48.5 ± 0.21^{f}	5.26 ± 0.08^{f}	32.17±0.09 ^e
FeCl ₃	33.36±0.02°	35.62±0.19 ^b	$5.05{\pm}0.08^{d}$	17.15 ± 0.06^{b}
NiCl ₂	29.17 ± 0.03^{b}	12.84 ± 0.18^{b}	$5.00.4{\pm}0.09^{\circ}$	$14.83{\pm}0.09^{a}$
ZnCl ₂	28.99 ± 0.04^{b}	10.49 ± 0.24^{a}	5.27 ± 0.08^{f}	$28.81{\pm}0.08^{d}$
CaCO ₃	46.43 ± 0.02^{f}	24.8±0.09°	5.18 ± 0.09^{e}	$20.47 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$
Drought	24.55±0.03 ^a	37.2 ± 0.10^{d}	5.09 ± 0.09^{d}	27.31 ± 0.10^{d}
F	195075.82	7632.92	551012	18465.97
Sig.	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.002
Т	Selimiye			
1	Prolin (µmol/g)	Protein (mg/g)	MDA (µmol/g)	$H_2O_2(\mu mol/g)$
Control	24.44±0.12 ^a	16.87 ± 0.04^{d}	6.17 ± 0.07^{g}	18.13±0.06 ^a
75 mM	$24.74{\pm}0.06^{a}$	75.12±0.05 ¹	2.75 ± 0.05^{b}	$43.35 {\pm} 0.08^{d}$
150 mM	27.66 ± 0.09^{b}	$63.63{\pm}0.05^{h}$	3.39±0.12°	56.30 ± 0.08^{g}
225 mM	35.42 ± 0.06^{e}	58.23 ± 0.03^{g}	4.29 ± 0.08^{e}	$59.83{\pm}0.08^{h}$
FeCl ₃	40.12 ± 0.06^{g}	13.92±0.04 ^b	4.36 ± 0.09^{e}	40.32±0.09
NiCl ₂	36.70 ± 0.09^{f}	$11.58{\pm}0.07^{a}$	5.74 ± 0.12^{f}	53.22 ± 0.07^{f}
ZnCl ₂	$34.08 {\pm} 0.06^{d}$	15.19±0.04°	3.68 ± 0.09^{d}	$38.37 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$
CaCO ₃	42.68 ± 0.10^{h}	25.60±0.04e	1.40 ± 0.10^{a}	$30.65 {\pm} 0.08^{b}$
Drought	33.31±0.06°	$38.85{\pm}0.03^{\rm f}$	3.46±0.13°	47.26±0.06 ^e
F	639082.59	350418.87	17623.18	220.93
Sig.	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.002

T: Treatments, * The difference between the averages indicated by the same letter in the same column are not important (P<0.05).

Table 3 Effects of salt, heavy metals, lime and drought (50%) treatments in the APX, GuPX, CAT and SOD activities in
the Cumhuriyet-75 and Selimiye-95 variety (EU/mg Protein)

т		Cumł	nuriyet		
Т	APX	CAT	GuPX	SOD	
Control	0.016±0.001 ^d	0.094±0.001e	$0.0310{\pm}0.0001^{d}$	130.55±0.18 ^h	
75 mM	0.011 ± 0.001^{b}	0.091±0.001e	$0.0195{\pm}0.0002^{a}$	145.48±0.21 ^e	
150 mM	0.017 ± 0.001^{d}	0.048 ± 0.001^{a}	$0.0180{\pm}0.0003^{a}$	137.68±0.09 ^d	
225 mM	0.009±0.001ª	0.057 ± 0.002^{b}	0.025 ± 0.0003^{b}	134.37±0.13e	
FeCl ₃	$0.011*\pm0.001^{b}$	0.121 ± 0.001^{e}	$0.038{\pm}0.0006^{d}$	129.53±0.10 ^g	
NiCl ₂	0.014±0.001°	$0.135{\pm}0.003^{\rm f}$	0.054 ± 0.0005^{e}	120.53±0.17 ^b	
ZnCl ₂	0.021±0.001e	0.085 ± 0.002^{d}	$0.027 \pm 0.0002^{\circ}$	123.46±0.15 ^d	
CaCO ₃	0.022±0.001e	0.090±0.001de	$0.030{\pm}0.0006^{d}$	122.34±0.11°	
Drought	$0.036{\pm}0.002^{\rm f}$	0.073±0.001°	$0.027 \pm 0.0005^{\circ}$	118.29±0.102 ^a	
F	423.15	1083.91	768.34	692.23	
Sig.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Ŧ	Selimiye				
Т	APX	CAT	GuPX	SOD	
Control	0.073±0.001°	0.102±0.0011	0.012 ± 0.0001^d	139.69±0.01 ^d	
75 mM	0.153 ± 0.002^{f}	0.093 ± 0.001^{d}	0.015 ± 0.0003^{f}	172.82 ± 0.26^{h}	
150 mM	0.141 ± 0.003^{e}	$0.084{\pm}0.001^{\rm f}$	0.013 ± 0.0002^{e}	158.57 ± 0.22^{g}	
225 mM	0.131±0.002e	0.078 ± 0.001^{h}	0.011 ± 0.0002^{de}	152.56 ± 0.21^{f}	
FeCl ₃	0.134 ± 0.015^{b}	0.071±0.001e	$0.014 \pm 0.0005^{\circ}$	129.66±0.44°	
NiCl ₂	0.026 ± 0.001^{a}	0.059 ± 0.001^{g}	$0.007{\pm}0.0001^{a}$	126.13±0.05 ^a	
ZnCl ₂	0.070±0.001°	0.042±0.001°	0.008 ± 0.0001^{b}	127.32±0.01 ^b	
CaCO ₃	$0.078 {\pm} 0.001^{cd}$	0.043 ± 0.001^{b}	$0.011 \pm 0.0001^{\circ}$	148.77±0.27 ^e	
Drought	0.096 ± 0.002^{d}	0.038 ± 0.001^{a}	$0.014{\pm}0.0003^{\rm f}$	147.87±0.27 ^e	
F	93.85	6663.57	402.79	4508.96	
Sig.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

T: Treatments, *The difference between the averages indicated by the same letter in the same column are not important (P<0.05).

The amount of malondialdehyde (MDA) was observed higher in all types of stress treatments in Cumhuriyet-75 variety compared to control group, but it lowered in leaf samples of Selimiye-95 variety (Table 3). In Cumhuriet-75 in ZnCl₂ (5.27 µmol), 225 mM NaCl (5.26 µmo, CaCO₃ (5.18 µmol) treatments MDA level was the highest level respectively. Chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents increased in salt concentrations, FeCl₃, ZnCl₂ and CaCO₃ treatments (P<0.05; Table 1). There was decrease а in H₂O₂ concentration 6% only in NiCl₂ treatment (14.83 µmol) in the Cumhuriyet-75 variety compared to control group (15.77 µmol) (Table 2). But in Selimiye-95 variety H₂O₂ concentration showed significant increase in all treatment groups compared to control, especially in 225 mM (59.83 µmol), 150 mM (56.30 µmol) NaCl and NiCl₂ (53.22 µmol) treatments (Table 2).

There were significant differences between enzyme activities of wheat varieties (P<0.05). The level of APX (ascorbate peroxidase), CAT (catalase), GuPX (guaiacol peroxidae) and SOD (superoxide dismutase) activities were higher in Selimiye-95 than Cumhuriyet-75. However in Cumhuriyet APX activity was found higher in drought (2.31 time), CaCO₃ (38.93%), ZnCl₂ (32.1%) and 150 mM (5.17%) with respect to control. CAT and GuPX activity were higher in NiCl₂ (0.195 EU and 0.054 EU) and FeCl₃ (0.121 EU and 0.038 EU) but lower in all other treatments. The lowest activity was determined in 150 mM NaCl for both enzymes as 0.48 EU and 0.18 EU in order. SOD activity was higher value of salt treatments, but it was reduced with cocentrations (Table 3). In Selimiye APX activity increased with salts (0.153 EU, 0.141 EU, 0.131 EU) and FeCl₃ (0.134 EU) application but CAT activity decreased in all treatments, especially in heavy metals and 225 mM NaCl applications. GuPX activity reduced heavy metals (0.007 EU, 0.008, 0.011 EU) and in 225 MM NaCl (0.011 EU) while SOD were determined lower in only heavy metals (129.66 EU, 126.12 EU, 127.32 EU) (Table 3).

Discussion

Photosynthetic pigments have a central role in capturing light, driving electron transport and generation of chemical energy and reducing power in the form of ATP and NADPH, respectively. Their content in photosynthetic active tissue varies depends on genotype, maturity of leaf and whole plant and also environmental conditions such as climatic factors, mineral status of soil, drought and salty (Molas, 2002; Raines, 2011). The amount of chlorophyll a, b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid were higher in Selimiye generally but there was no significant difference between species in terms of chlorophyll a/b ratio (Table 1). In Cumhuriyet photosynthetic pigments were most influenced by NiCl₂, ZnCl₂, CaCO₃ treatments negatively. The amount of chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll were the highest at FeCl3 in both varities but they were lowest in NiCl₂ for Cumhuryet-75 and in drought for Selimiye-95 (Table 1). Photosynthetic pigment results showed that Cumhuriyet-75 is more sensitive to NiCl2, ZnCl₂, CaCO₃ but it is tolerant to FeCl₃, drought and 225 mM NaCl treatments. On the other hand Selimiye-95 sowed sensitivity to drought and NiCl₂ but was found resistant to salts and FeCl₃ treatments (Table 1). Photosynthetic pigment results are similar to those found in this field. For example Öncel and Keleş (2002), Parida et al. (2002), Santos (2002) reported that chlorophyll content was higher in leaves of tolerant cultivars while Kholová et al. (2010), Terzi and Yıldız (2013) stated that pigment levels were lower in salts condition in susceptible. However they noted that their content may be lower at the beginning of growth phase but they can higher by the age of maturity in plant. Kumar et al (2012), Dubey and Pandey (2011) in heavy metal the amount of chlorophyll pigment reduced in susceptible crops. It has been expressed that iron (Fe) toxicity (Schmidt and Fühner 1998; Molas 2002), zinc (Zn) toxicity in agricultural soils (Nagaiyot et al., 2010) repressed chlorophyll synthesis, stimulated leaf chlorosis due to iron deficiency, and inhibit the absorption of phosphorus and iron. On the other hand Gruber and Kosegarten (2002) found that chlorine areas in leaves increase due to iron lacking and inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis in grapes in calcareous soil. In sensitive varieties decreasing in chlorophyll under salt, drought, heavy metal and limy stresses is mainly the result of damage to chloroplast membrane, increasing of degradation, chlorophyll and protein decreasing biosynthesis and inhibition enzymes which responsible of biosynthesis of chlorophyll pigment by stimulated oxidative reactions (Sairam et al. 205; Foyer and Shigeoka 2011). Total carotenoids areless affected by drought than chlorophyll (Efeoglu and Terzioglu, 2009). Our carotenoid findings are consistent with this information especially in Selimiye-95.

One of the most abundant compounds in plant tissues is soluble nitrogen compounds. Researchers stated that prolines and total soluble proteins are necessary at all stages of growth (Parida et al., 2002; Sharma and Dietz, 2006). The content of proline was very lower compared to the control group in the stress treatment groups in the Cumhuriyet-75 but it was higher in Selimiye-95 in all applications (Table 2). In Cumhuriyet the amount of proline was the lowest value especially in drought, heavy metals (ZnCl₂, NiCl₂, and FeCl₃) treatments while it was maximum level at CaCO₃ and FeCl₃ (Table 2). Within the scope of total soluble protein quantity changes heavy metals and drought have decreased the amount of it in both wheat varieties and also it increased with salt concentrations (Table 2). The results of proline and protein are in agreement with other investigations. Demiral and Türkan (2005), Turkyılmaz et al. (2014), determined that proline level increased in tolerant genotypes order under salty conditions; Keyvan (2010), Razi et al. (2016), found that by drought cause an increase proline content in resistant species. Chen et al. (2001), Sharma and Dietz (2006) observed that proline content reduced by excess elements and heavy metals, and also Gregersen et al. (2008), Çakmak et al. (2001) noted that exposed to limy stress decreased level of it in susceptible plants. It has been proven that protein content was higher in resistant compared to susceptible species and varieties in salt stress by Ashraf and Harris (2004) and Crawford (1995), and drought conditions by Başer et al. (2005), Parida et al. (2007). Chen et al. (2001), Singh and Tewari (2003), found that excess levels of heavy metals (Zn, Cu, 927

Cd, Co, Pb, Ni and Ag) inhibit the binding of metals to sulfhydryl groups in amino acids and proteins. As a result of this may repress its synthesis and impaire the functions (Davies, 1987; Sharma and Dietz, 2006). It has been reported that tolerance genotypes accumulate more osmolytes such as proline and soluble proteins during stress conditions and play an important role in prevention of the cellular structures and components (Szabados and Savoure 2009). And also proteins can caatbolise to proline (Kavir Kishor et al., 2005) while proline can metabolize to glucose and cause synthesis of chlorophyll by glutamate pathway (Sharma et al., 2011). It was found out that n cumhuriyet SOD activity is higher as protein content increases but CAT and GuPX activity are lower with higher MDA and H₂O₂ levels. However CAT andd GuPX activities are the highest value at lowest H₂O₂ level. APX is maximum activity due to higher protein in drought (Table 2, Table 3). In Selimiye CAT activity is lower due to higher H₂O₂ concentration but APX and SOD activity are higher by higher proline, soluble protein as well lower MDA. SOD activity is lower at heavy metals concentrations (Table 2, Table 3).

Results of MDA, H₂O₂ and enzyme activities are similar to other works of researchers which determined that ROS, MDA level were higher by abiotic stress conditions such as salinity, drought, heavy metals. For example Sairam et al. (2005) investigated that effect of long-term sodium chloride salinity in tolerant and susceptible wheat genotypes. They found that salt treatment decreased membrane stability index (MSI), activities of SOD, APX and GR but increased the contents of H₂O₂. Turkyılmaz et al. (2014) determined that the activities of APX, CAT, POX and SOD increased in tolerant barley seedling significantly under NaCl stress while Kholová et al. (2010) measured that NaCl decreased antioxidant enzymes in maize genotypes. Sofo et al. (2015), Razi et al. (2016), have reported that enzyme activities are decreased in susceptible varieties ndrought conditions; Singh and Tewari (2003) and Pandey and Sing (2011) have reported that concentrations of heavy metals (Fe, Cd, Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb) reduce SOD, APX, CAT activities in safflower, rice and spinach respectively.

As a result, it was shown in this study that the differences in growth, photosynthetic pigments as chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents, proline and soluble protein content, lipid peroxidation, hydrogen peroxide, and antioxidant activities such as APX, CAT, GuPX and SOD Activities in the two wheat cultivars could be ascribed for determination of salt, heavy meals, drought and calcareous stress effects on resistance mechanisms of wheat genotypes. Depends on chemical compound results Selimiye-95 variety is more resistant to NaCl, FeCl3 and limedrought but it showed moderate tolerance to CaCO₃. Cumhuriyet-75 cultivar was found as sensivite types comparison to Selimiye but it is tolerant to drought, FeCl₃ and 225 mM NaCl.

Acknowledgement

This study has been carried out by virtue of the assistance provided through the of KUBAP-01 / 2013-17 project.

References

- Arnon D. 1949. Coppere enzymes in isolated chloroplasts: Polyphenoloxydase in Beta vulgaris. Plant Physiol. 24:1-15.
- Ashraf M, Harris PJC. 2004. Potential biochemical indicators of salinity tolerance in plants. Plant Sci. 166: 3-16.
- Başer İ, Korkut KZ, Bilgin O. 2005. Ekmeklik buğdayda (Triticum aestivum L.) kurağa dayanıklılıkla ilgili özellikler arasındaki ilişkiler. Tekirdağ Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi. 2 (3).
- Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID. 1973. Rapid determination of proline for water-stress studies. Plant and Soil. 39: 205-207.
- Bergmeyer HU. 1974. Methods of Enzymatic Analysis. New York, Academic Press.
- Blake NK, Lanning SP, Martin JM, Sherman JD, Talbert LE (2007). Relationship of flag leaf characteristics to economically important traits in two spring wheat crosses. Crop Sci. 47:491-494.
- Bradford M. 1976. A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantitation of Microgram Quantities of Protein Utilizing the Principle of Protein-Dye Binding. Anal Biochem. 72:248-254.
- Chance B, Maehly SK. 1995. Assay of catalase and peroxidase", Methods Enzymol. 2:764-775.
- Chen CT, Chen LM, Lin CC, Kao CH. 2001. Regulation of proline accumulation in detached rice leaves exposed to excess copper. Plant Sci. 160: 283-290.
- Crawford NM. 1995. Nitrate: nutrient and signal for plant growth. The Plant Cell. 7: 859-868.
- Çakmak I. 1994. Activity of ascorbate-dependent H_2O_2 scavenging enzymes and leaf chlorosis are enhancedin magnesium and potassium deficient leaves, but not in phosphorus deficient leaves. Journal of Experimental Botany. 45: 1259-1266.
- Çakmak O, Öztürk L, Karanlık S, Özkan H, Kaya Z, Çakmak İ. 2001. Tolerance of 65 durum wheat genotypes to zinc deficiency in a calcareous soil. J. Plant Nutr. 24: 1831-1847.
- Çimen B, Yeşiloğlu T, Yılmaz B, İncesu M. 2013. Farklı Tuz Konsantrasyonlarının Bazı Turunçgil Anaçlarının Fotosentetik Performansları Üzerine Etkileri", Tarım Bilimleri Araştırma Dergisi. 6 (2):13-18.
- Davies KJA. 1987. Protein damage and degradation by oxygen radicals I. General aspects. J Biochem Chem. 262: 9895-9901.
- Demiral T, Türkan I. 2005. Comparative lipid peroxidation, antioxidant defense systems and proline content in roots of two rice cultivars differing in salt tolerance. Environmental and Exp Bot. 53: 247-257.
- Dubey D, Pandey A. 2011. Effect of Nickel (Ni) On Chlorophyll, Lipid Peroxidation and Antioxidant Enzymes Activities In Black Gram (Vigna Mungo) Leaves. I.J.S.N. (2) 2011:395-40.
- Efeoglu B, Terzioglu S. 2009. Photosynthetic responses of two wheat varieties to high temperature. EurAsia J. BioSci. 3: 97-106.
- Foyer CH, Shigeoka S. 2011. Understanding Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Functions to Enhance Photosynthesis. Plant Physiology. 155 (1): 93-100.
- Gregersen PL, Holm PB, Krupinska K. 2008. Leaf senescence and nutrient remobilisation in barley and wheat. Plant Biology. 10: 37-49.
- Gruber B, Kosegarten H. 2002. Depressed growth of nonchlorotic vine grown in calcareous soil is an iron deficiency symptom prior to leaf chlorosis. J. Plant Nutr. and Soil Sci. 165: 11-117.
- Gupta NK, Gupta S, Kumar A. 2001. Effect of water stress on physiological attributes and their relationship with growth and yield of wheat cultivars at different stages. Crop Science, 41: 1390-1395.
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC. 2012. Free Radical in Biology and Medicine, Oxford University Press, UK, 1999, 3rd edn.

- Hernandez JA, Almansa MS. 2002. Short-term effects of salt stress on antioxidant systems and leaf water relations of pea plants. Physiol. Plant. 115(2): 251-257.
- Kavir Kishor PB, Sangam S, Amrutha RN, Sri Laxmi P, Naidu KR, Rao KRSS, Rao S, Reddy KJ, Sreenivasulu N. 2005. Regulation of proline biosynthesis, degradation, uptake and transport in higher plants: Its implications in plant growth and abiotic stress tolerance. Cur Sci. 88: 424-438.
- Keyvan S. 2010. The effects of drought stress on yield, relative water content, proline, soluble carbohydrates and chlorophyll of bread wheat cultivars. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 8(3): 1051-1060.
- Kholová J, Sairam RK, Meena RC. 2010. Osmolytes and metal ions accumulation, oxidative stress and antioxidant enzymes activity as determinants of salinity stress tolerance in maize genotypes. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 32: 477-486.
- Kumar V, Awasthi G, Chauhan PK. 2012. Cu and Zn tolerance and responses of the Biochemical and Physiochemical system of Wheat. Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry. 8 (3): 203-213.
- Lutts S, Kinet, JM, Bouharmont J. 1996. NaCl induced senescence in leaves of rice (Oryza sativa L.) cultivars differing in salinity resistance. Annals of Botany. 78:389-398.
- Makino A. 2001. Photosynthesis, Grain Yield, and Nitrogen Utilization in Rice and Wheat. Plant Physiology. 155 (1):125-29.
- Molas J. 2002. Changes of chloroplast ultrastructure and total chlorophyll concentration in cabbage leaves caused by excess of organic Ni (II) complexes. Environ. Exp. Bot. 47:115-126.
- Nagaiyot PC, Lee KD, Sreekanth TVM. 2010. Heavy metals, occurrence and toxicity for plants: a review. J. Environ Chem Lett. 8 (3): 199-216.
- Nagoor S. 1999. Physiological and biochemical responses of cereal seedlings to graded levels of heavy metals. II. Effects on protein metabolism in maize seedlings. Adv. Plant Sci. 12: 425-433.
- Nakano Y, Asada K. 1981. Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. Physiologia Plantarum. 115: 393-400.
- Neto ADA, Prisco JT, Enéas-Filho J, Abreu CEB, Gomes-Filho E. 2006. Effect of salt stress on antioxidative enzymes and lipid peroxidation in leaves and roots of salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive maize genotypes. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 56: 87-94.
- Öncel I, Keleş Y. 2002. Tuz Stresi Altındaki Buğday Genotiplerinde Büyüme, Pigment İçeriği ve Çözünür Madde Kompozisyonunda Değişmeler. C.Ü. Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi. 23(2): 9-16.
- Öz A, Cegil Bekir. 2016. A study on adaptation of some maize cultivar in Middle Kızılırmak Basin. Journal of Applied Biological Sciences. 10 (1): 01-07.
- Özturk A, Aydin F. 2004. Effect of water stress at various growth stages on some quality characteristics of winter wheat. J. Agronomy & Crop Science. 190: 9399.
- Pandey SN, Sing K. 2011. Effect of nickel-stresses on uptake, pigments and antioxidative responses of water lettuce, *Pistia stratiotes* L. J. Environ. Biol. 32: 391-394.
- Parida AK, Dagaonkar VS, Phalak MS. 2007. Alterations in photosynthetic pigments, protein and osmotic components in cotton genotypes subjected to short-term drought stress followed by recovery. Plant Biotechnol. Rep. 1: 37-48.
- Parida AK, Das AB, Das P. 2002. NaCl stress causes changes in photosynthetic pigments, proteins and other metabolic components in the leaves of a true mangrove, Bruguiera parviflora, in hydroponic cultures. J. Plant Biol. 45: 28-36.

- Pattanagul W, Thitisaksakul M. 2008. Effect of Salinity stress on growth and carbohydrate metabolism in tree rice (Oryza sativa) cultivars in differing salinity tolerance. Indian Journal of Experimental Biology. 46: 735-742.
- Raines CA. 2011. Increasing Photosynthetic Carbon Assimilation in C3 Plants to Improve Crop Yield: Current and Future Strategies. Plant Physiology. 155 (1): 36-42.
- Razi H, Zahedi MB, Saed-Moucheshi A. 2016. Evaluation of Antioxidant Enzymes, Lipid Peroxidation and Proline Content as Selection Criteria for Grain Yield under Water Deficit Stress in Barley. Journal of Applied Biological Sciences. 10 (1): 71-78.
- Sairam RK, Srivastava, GC, Agarwal S, Meena RC. 2005. Differences in antioxidant activity in response to salinity stress in tolerant and susceptible wheat genotypes. Biologia Plantarum. 49: 85-91.
- Santos CV. 2004. Regulation of chlorophyll biosynthesis and degradation by salt stress in sunflower leaves. Scientia Horticulturae. 103: 93-99.
- Schmidt W, Fühner C. 1998. Sensitivity to and requirement for iron in Plantago species. The New Phytologist. 138: 639-651.
- Sharma S, Vıllamor JG, Versules PE. 2011. Essential role of tissue-specific proline synthesis and catabolism in growth and redox balance at low water potential", Plant Physiology. 157 (1): 292-304.
- Sharma SS, Dietz KJ. 2006. The significance of amino acids and amino acid-derived molecules in plant responses and adaptation to heavy metal stress. Journal of Experimental Botany. 57: 711-726.
- Singh PK, Tewari RK. 2003. Cadmium toxicity induced changes in plant water relations and oxidative metabolism of Brassica juncea L. Plants", J. Environ. Biology. 24: 107-112.
- Sofo A, Scopa A, Nuzzacci M, Vitti A. 2015. Ascorbate Peroxidase and Catalase Activities and Their Genetic Regulation in Plants Subjected to Drought and Salinity Stresses. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 16: 13561-13578.
- Spiteller G. 2003. The relationship between cell wall, lipid peroxidation, proliferation, senescence and cell death. Physiol. Plant. 119: 5-18.
- Szabados L, Savoure A. 2009. Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends Plant Sci. 2: 89-97.
- Terzi H, Yıldız M. 2013. Effect of NaCl Stress on Chlorophyll Biosynthesis, Proline, Lipid Peroxidation and Antioxidative Enzymes in Leaves of Salt-Tolerant and Salt-Sensitive Barley Cultivars. Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi-Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 19:79-88.
- Turkyılmaz Unal B, Aktaş LY, Güven A. 2014. Effects of salinity on antioxidant enzymes and proline in leaves of barley seedlings in different growth stages. Bulg. J. Agric. Sci. 20: 883-887.
- Velikova V, Yordanov I, Edreva A. 2000. Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain-treated bean plants: protective roles of exogenous polyamines. Plant Sci. 151: 59-66.
- Waters BM, Uauy C, Dubcovsky J, Grusak MA. 2009. Wheat (Triticum aestivum) proteins regulate the translocation of iron, zinc, and nitrogen compounds from vegetative tissues to grain. Journal of Experimental Botany. 60(15): 4263-4274.
- Witham FH, Blaydes DF, Devli RM. 1971. Experiments in plant physiology. 55-56. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York.
- Zheng Y, Xu X, Li Z, Yang X, Zhang C, Li F, Jiang G. 2009. Differential responses of grain yield and quality to salinity between contrasting winter wheat cultivars. Seed Sci. Biotech. 3: 40-43