



## A study on the Determination of Some Reproductive Traits of Ewes and The Growth Performance of Lambs Akkaraman Raised under Farm Conditions in the Province of Niğde

Yüksel Aksoy<sup>1,a</sup>, Ahmet Şekeroğlu<sup>2,b</sup>, Mustafa Duman<sup>3,c,\*</sup>, Önder Bayram Çoban<sup>4,d</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, 26160, Eskişehir, Türkiye

<sup>2</sup>Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technologies, Department of Animal Production and Technologies, 51240 Niğde, Türkiye

<sup>3</sup>Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Bor Vocational School, Department of Laboratory Assistant and Veterinary Health, 51700 Bor/Niğde, Türkiye

<sup>4</sup>Muş Alparslan University, Faculty of Applied Science, Faculty of Animal Production and Technologies 49001 Muş, Türkiye

\*Corresponding author

### ARTICLE INFO

### ABSTRACT

#### Research Article

Received : 06-02-2023  
Accepted : 19-04-2023

#### Keywords:

Akkaraman  
Lamb  
Reproductive characteristics  
Growth traits  
Survival rate

In this study, the reproductive and growth performance of Akkaraman sheep between 2017-2021 were evaluated within the scope of the National Sheep and Goat Breeding Project in the Hand of the Public, which is being carried out in the province of Nigde. In the research, data obtained from 30923 animals in 22 farms in 7 villages in the central district of Nigde province were used. In the study, the effect of year, dam's age, gender and birth type on birth weight (BW), live weight on day 60 (LW1), live weight on day 120 (LW2), daily live weight gain (DLWG) on day 120 were investigated. The effects of year, dam's age, gender and birth type on BW, LW1, LW2, DLWG were found to be statistically significant. While the effects of year, dam's age and gender were found to be statistically effective on SR, the effect of birth type was found to be insignificant. In the study, fertility, fecundity and litter size in Akkaraman lambs were determined as 0.92, 1.03 and 1.13, respectively. As a result, remarkable progress has been achieved in Akkaraman lambs in terms of performance characteristics (LW1, LW2 and DLWG) between 2017 and 2021.

<sup>a</sup> [yaksoy@ogu.edu.tr](mailto:yaksoy@ogu.edu.tr)

<sup>b</sup> <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2035-6269>

<sup>b</sup> [ahmetsekeroglu22@gmail.com](mailto:ahmetsekeroglu22@gmail.com)

<sup>b</sup> <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0764-4944>

<sup>c</sup> [ustafa.duman@ohu.edu.tr](mailto:ustafa.duman@ohu.edu.tr)

<sup>c</sup> <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0342-8275>

<sup>d</sup> [ob.coban@alparslan.edu.tr](mailto:ob.coban@alparslan.edu.tr)

<sup>d</sup> <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5179-8687>



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

## Introduction

Sheep transforms non-agricultural areas, fallow lands and pastures into milk, meat, and wool (Esen and Bozkurt, 2001; Yıldız and Denk, 2006). In this production line, while wool has lost its importance in Türkiye over the years, raw sheep milk no longer makes enough money for the producer. Therefore, farmers have turned to sheep and lamb meat production as their primary source of income (approximately 90%) (Demiral and İşcan, 2012). People should provide almost (about 42%) half of their daily protein needs from animal sources for a balanced and healthy diet. This situation reveals the importance of sheep and lamb meat from animal protein sources (Şahin et al., 2021; Sarı et al., 2022).

The 2021 Turkish Statistical Institute data shows 45,177,690 sheep in Türkiye, 91.1% of which are indigenous sheep breeds (TurkStat, 2021). The Akkaraman sheep, which is one of the indigenous breeds of sheep,

account for 40 to 45 percent of the sheep population in Türkiye (Akçapınar, 2000; Esen and Özbey, 2000; Sakar and Ünal, 2021; Noyan and Ceyhan, 2021). The Akkaraman sheep breed also accounts for the majority of the total sheep population (614,809 head) in Nigde (Ceyhan et al., 2019; Noyan and Ceyhan, 2021).

While sheep and lambs have a share of 19.6% (385,933 tons) in Türkiye's total red meat production of 1,962,038 tons, cattle have a share of 74.4% (1,460,719 tons) (TurkStat, 2021). With the strategy of "profitable sheep breeding" and "high red meat production in parallel with rapid population growth," increasing animals' fertility and carcass weight, thus the proportion of sheep meat in red meat production is essential for solving the red meat problem in Türkiye (Tekerli et al., 2002; Türkyılmaz et al., 2021). The offspring produce of ewes can be evaluated according to "mating and lambing results" and "weaning

results” (Kaymakçı, 2016). High results of both offspring yield factors are critical to increasing breeders’ incomes. Offspring production is also paramount in the preservation of flock and effective weeding and selection in herds (Yıldız and Denk, 2006).

In sheep breeding enterprises whose income stands on lamb meat production, the number of lambs at the weaning and marketing age and its continuity is one of the most crucial issues (Koyuncu and Duymaz, 2017). Therefore, lamb raising with less loss and high survivability is essential, as well as high progeny performance in sheep breeding. Vitality is an indicator of adaptation to environmental and regional conditions. Previous studies reported that many factors affect survival rate, such as birth weight (Morris et al., 2000; Koyuncu and Duymaz, 2017; Türkyılmaz et al., 2021), gender (Koyuncu and Duymaz, 2017; Güngör and Ünal, 2020), genotype (Özbey and Akcan, 2003; Mundan and Özbeyaz, 2004; Güngör and Ünal, 2020; Türkyılmaz et al., 2021), birth type (Ürüşan and Emsen, 2010; Yakan et al., 2012; Koyuncu and Duymaz, 2017) birth year (Aktaş et al., 2014; Oğrak, 2020), dam’s live weight at birth (Aktaş et al., 2015), dam’s nutrition (Koyuncu and Duymaz, 2017; Kutlu et al., 2022), dam’s age (Morris et al., 2000; Sawalha et al., 2007; Koyuncu and Duymaz, 2017; Türkyılmaz et al., 2021), parity and ram effect (Morris et al., 2000; Aktaş et al., 2015).

It has been stated that birth weight, one of the developmental characteristics of Akkaraman lambs addressed in the study, is an indicator of fetal growth in lambs (Esen and Yıldız, 2000; Özbey and Akcan, 2003). The birth weight, which significantly determines the live weight at weaning and postweaning, can affect the future development of the lamb and the live weight of the fattened lambs, and thus the carcass productivity postweaning and butchery periods (Bozdepe et al., 1994; Karakuş, 2007).

It has been reported that while excessive birth weight can cause parturition difficulties, low birth weight can make lambs more susceptible to hypothermia, especially in cold regions. In addition, low birth weight in lambs may not only increase the risk of respiratory disease but may also reduce postnatal survivability for a number of reasons, including inadequate colostrum intake due to poor sucking reflex. For this reason, some studies argue that the lamb’s birth weight should be 4-5 kg optimally (Akçapınar, 2000; İpek, 2012, Gaur et al., 2022).

While some previous studies report that lambs’ genotypes affect birth weight (Odabaşoğlu et al., 1996; Esen and Yıldız, 2000; Ürüşan and Emsen, 2010; Güngör and Ünal, 2020), some studies advocates the existence of other factors such as, dam’s age (Esen and Yıldız, 2000; Ünal et al., 2006; Yakan et al., 2012; Aktaş et al., 2014; Güngör and Ünal, 2020), gender (Esen and Yıldız, 2000; Ünal et al., 2006; Yakan et al., 2012; Ceyhan et al., 2019; Güngör and Ünal, 2020; Behrem, 2021; Noyan and Ceyhan, 2021), birth type (Odabaşoğlu et al., 1996; Esen and Yıldız, 2000; Ünal et al., 2006; Yakan et al., 2012; Aktaş et al., 2014; Ceyhan et al., 2019; Sakar and Erişek, 2019; Güngör and Ünal, 2020; Behrem, 2021; Noyan and Ceyhan, 2021; Türkmen and Çak, 2021), farm condions (Sakar and Erişek, 2019); birth season (Yakan et al., 2012; Aktaş et al., 2014; Ceyhan et al., 2019; Güngör and Ünal, 2020; Behrem, 2021; Noyan and Ceyhan, 2021); herd type

(Noyan and Ceyhan, 2021), dam’s live weight at birth (Şireli, 2019), dam’s nutritional status (Esen and Yıldız, 2000; Dogan and Şahin, 2003; Şirin et al., 2017) and parity (Ürüşan and Emsen, 2010).

The high weaning weight improves the income of the farmer and the growth characteristics of the lambs after weaning (Boztepe et al., 1994). For this reason, researchers often focus on the live weight of lambs during the weaning period and their live-weight gains and growing up to this period. Numerous researchers have reported numerous factors such as year of birth, sex, birth weight, type of birth, season of birth, genotype, dam’s age, body condition score of sheep at birth, adult body weight of sheep, and rearing methods that affect the developmental characteristics of lambs such as weaning live weight and live weight gain up to this period (Karakuş, 2007; Sarı et al., 2013; Bingöl and Bingöl, 2015; Güngör and Ünal, 2020).

The study, which is part of the Turkish National Sheep and Goat Breeding Project “Akkaraman Sheep Reared Rural Farm Conditions in Nigde Province” between 2017-2021, aims to determine the effect of some environmental factors on reproductive traits of ewes and growth and survival performance of lambs.

## Material and Methods

The current study, examining the production records of 30923 heads of Akkaraman sheep between 2017-2021 in seven villages and 22 farms in Nigde, carried out studies in core and base flocks to increase the live weight of Akkaraman sheep. While the class-style mating was conducted in elite flocks (one adult ram to 30 sheep), free mating system was used in base herds (one adult ram to 20 sheep). In both methods, rams stayed in the flock for approximately 45 days between August 15 and September 30 each year. Following the lambing and the first colostrum intake of the lambs, their birth type, gender, birth weight, and date of birth, dam’s age, and earing number data were recorded in the birth registration book to enter into their digital environments. Then, the lambs were registered with “lamb sign ear tag.” The study, which started the birth recordings 145 days after the first ram participation in the herds, restricted the evaluation of the birth records to 60 days from the first birth.

In this study, the reproductive performance traits of Akkaraman ewes such as fertility (Formula 1), fecundity (Formula 2), litter size (Formula 3), fecundity at weaning (Formula 4) and litter size at weaning (Formula 5) were investigated as reported by Kaymakçı (2016).

$$F = \frac{EL}{EER} \quad (1)$$

$$FE = \frac{LB}{EER} \quad (2)$$

$$LS = \frac{LW}{EL} \quad (3)$$

$$FEW = \frac{LW}{ERR} \quad (4)$$

$$LSW = \frac{LW}{EK} \quad (5)$$

In the equations,

F = Fertility

EL = Number of ewes lambing

EER = Number of ewes exposed to the ram

FE = Fecundity

LB = Number of lambs born

LS = Litter size

FEW= Fecundity at weaning

LW = Number of lambs at weaning

LSW=Litter size at weaning

The survival rate of lambs was determined by the following equation according to the number of lambs living on the 120th day (Formula 6)

$$SR(\%) = \frac{NLW}{NLL} \times 100 \quad (6)$$

Where;

SR = Survival rate (%)

NLW= Number of lambs weighted on day 120

NLL= Number of live lambs born

In the study, 60-day live weight (LW1) and 120-day live weight (LW2) were determined according to year of birth, ewe age, method of birth and sex in the Elite and Base flocks, and live weight gain (DLWG) from birth to 120 days was calculated. LW1 and LW2 were adjusted according to formula 7 below, taking into account the age of the lambs.

$$\text{Adjusted live weight} = D - (b \times (E - G)) \quad (7)$$

In the equation,

D = Actual LW1 and LW2 weight (kg),

b = Partial regression coefficient between actual LW1 and LW2 weight and lamb age

E = Current lamb age (days)

G = Target lamb age (days) (60 days for LW1 and 120 days for LW2)

The following mathematical model was used for LW1, LW2, and DLWG.

$$Y_{ijklm} = \mu + a_i + b_j + c_k + d_l + e_{ijklm}$$

In this model;

Y<sub>ijklm</sub> = Denotes LW1, LW2, and DLWG of m lambs in i birth season, w dam age, k gender, l birth type.

μ = Population mean

a<sub>i</sub> = Effect of the birth year (i= 5; 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021)

b<sub>j</sub> = Effect of dam ages (j= 6; 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7)

c<sub>k</sub> = Effect of gender (k=2; male and female)

d<sub>l</sub> = Effect of birth type (l=2; single and twin)

e<sub>ijklm</sub> = Random error

In the current study, the effects of environmental factors on birth weight, LW1, LW2 and DLWG of Akkaraman lambs were determined using the least squares method in the general linear model (GLM) procedure. Duncan's multiple comparison test revealed the differences between the subgroup averages in the study (Düzgüneş et al., 1987), while the *z-test* revealed the differences between lambs' survivability, birth years, dam ages, genders, and birth types (P<0.05). The study employed IBM SPSS 23 (IBM Corp. Released, 2015) for data analyses.

## Results

### Growth Traits

Table 1 shows the least squares mean and standard errors of the live weights of Akkaraman lambs at birth and 60th day (LW1). In the study, the average birth weight of Akkaraman lambs was 4.36±0.01 kg. In the study, the lowest birth weight was found in 2017 (4.29±0.01 kg) and the highest in 2018 (4.50±0.01 kg) (P<0.01).

Table 1. Least squares means and standard errors (kg) of birth and 60th day live weights (LW1) in Akkaraman sheep

Traits	N	Birth Weight	N	LW1
Year		**		**
2017	6068	4.29±0.01d	5672	17.58±0.07d
2018	6309	4.50±0.01a	6057	20.22±0.06a
2019	6074	4.30±0.01cd	5754	16.98±0.07e
2020	6309	4.32±0.01c	6070	17.96±0.04c
2021	6163	4.39±0.01b	5796	19.42±0.05b
Dam's Age		**		**
2	4640	4.28±0.03c	4276	17.78±0.06a
3	4544	4.36±0.01ab	4325	18.70±0.07c
4	3756	4.38±0.01ab	3574	19.09±0.08a
5	2988	4.35±0.01b	2872	18.78±0.09b
6	4964	4.35±0.01b	4690	17.70±0.06c
7≥	10031	4.39±0.01a	9508	18.66±0.05b
Gender		**		**
Male	15129	4.44±0.01a	14290	19.02±0.03a
Female	15794	4.28±0.01b	14955	17.90±0.06b
Birth Type		**		**
Single	23914	4.51±0.06a	22686	18.71±0.04a
Twin	7009	3.82±0.06b	6559	17.55±0.04b
Overall	30923	4.36±0.01	29349	18.43±0.05

LW1: 60-day postnatal live weight; a, b, c, d: The differences observed between the averages shown in different letters in the same column are significant; \*\*P<0.01

Table 2. Least square means and standard errors of 120th day live weight (LW2) and birth-120th day live weight gain (DLWG) in Akkaraman lambs

Traits	N	LW2 (kg)	DLWG (g)
Year		**	**
2017	5672	30.47±0.09 c	254±0.78d
2018	6033	34.95±0.08 a	291±0.69a
2019	5376	30.79±0.10 b	257±0.80c
2020	6070	30.45±0.08 c	254±0.64d
2021	5796	36.43±0.08 d	267±0.66b
Dam's Age		**	**
2	4325	31.86±0.10 c	257±0.68d
3	4294	33.08±0.10 b	266±0.71c
4	3536	33.87±0.12 a	273±0.94a
5	2832	33.03±0.13 b	269±1.04b
6	4657	31.03±0.10 d	255±0.79d
7≥	9303	33.06±0.07 b	269±0.60b
Gender		**	**
Male	14111	33.89±0.06a	275±0.50a
Female	14836	31.48±0.05b	255±0.41b
Birth Type		**	**
Single	22421	33.08±0.05a	268±0.37a
Twins	6526	31.18±0.09b	253±0.69b
Overall	28947	32.62±0.08	264±0.37

LW2: Live weight 120-day postpartum, DLWG: Daily live weight gain of lambs between birth and 120-day postpartum; a, b, c, d: The differences observed between the averages shown in different letters in the same column are significant.

\*\*P<0.01

Table 3. Some progeny yield characteristics in Akkaraman sheep

Traits	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Overall
Fertility	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.93	0.91	0.92
Fecundity	1.01	1.05	1.01	1.05	1.03	1.03
Litter size	1.14	1.16	1.08	1.12	1.13	1.13
FEW	0.94	1.00	0.90	1.01	0.97	0.96
LSW	1.07	1.10	0.96	1.08	1.06	1.05

FEW: Fecundity at weaning; LSW: Litter size at weaning

The effects of year, maternal age, birth type and gender on birth weight were significant (P<0.01). In terms of LW1 weight, male lambs weighed 1.12 kg more than female lambs, while single lambs had 1.16 kg more live weight than the twin lambs. These differences were found to be statistically significant (P<0.01). When analyzing the LW1 weight of the lambs by years, the highest weight was recorded in 2018 (20.22±0.06 kg) and the lowest weight in 2019 (16.98±0.07 kg). The variation between lambing years was significant (P<0.01). While the LW1 of the lambs increased until the ewe was four years old, the LW1 values then decreased with the increasing age of the ewes.

In this study, least squares mean and standard errors for LW2 and DLWG are shown in Table 2. The study detected approximately 5.96 kg of total live weight gain between 2017 and 2021 in terms of LW2 (P<0.01). The highest LW2 values were in the lambs born in 2021 (36.43±0.08 kg), with a maternal age of 4 (33.87±0.12 kg), single-born (33.08±0.05 kg), and male (33.89±0.06 kg). The results of the study showed that the year, age of the dam, sex and type of birth had a significant effect on the DLWG value of the Akkaraman lambs (Table 2; P<0.01). The lowest DLWG was in the lambs born in the 2017 (254±0.78 g/lamb/day) and 2020 (254±0.64 g/lamb/day) years with a dam's age of six (255±0.79 g/lamb/day) (P<0.01). The study determined DLWG 275±0.50 and 255±0.41 g/lamb/day in male and female lambs and 268±0.37 and 253±0.69 g/lamb/day in single and twin lambs, respectively.

### Reproductive performance and Lamb Survivability

Fertility, fecundity and litter size in Akkaraman ewes were 0.92, 1.03 and 1.13, respectively (Table 3).

The results for Akkaraman lamb survival at 120 days of age are presented in Table 4. Although the difference between birth types was not significant, the difference between sexes was significant (P<0.05) for SR in Akkaraman lambs. The highest survival rate was found in the year of the 2020 (96.21%), and the lowest was found in 2019 (88.50%) years (P<0.05).

### Discussion and Conclusion

#### Growth Traits

Studies report that birth weight affects lamb survivability, and lamb size is a substantial risk factor for reduced lamb survival (Maud and Duffell, 1977). The study determined the birth weight value in Akkaraman lambs as 4.36 kg. In this research, the birth weight value determined for Akkaraman sheep was higher than the values determined by Odabaşoğlu et al. (1996) (3.17 kg), Sakar and Ünal (2021) (3.87 kg), Ceyhan et al. (2019) (4.23 kg), Aktas et al. (2014) (4.05 kg), Behrem (2021) (4.19 kg), Dağ et al. (2000) (4.20 kg), Öztürk et al. (2018) (4.07 kg), and Noyan and Ceyhan (2021) (4.07 kg), but lower than the values determined by Çolakoğlu and Özbeyaz (1999) (4.91 kg), Akcapinar et al. (2000) (4.83 kg), Ünal (2002) (4.56 kg), Mundan and Özbeyaz (2004) (4.74 kg), Yakan et al. (2012) (4.50 kg).

Table 4. Means of survivability (SR) between birth and 120-day postpartum in Akkaraman lambs

Traits	NBL	NBL4	Survivability (%) <sup>#</sup>
Year			*
2017	6068	5672	93.47b
2018	6309	6033	95.62a
2019	6074	5376	88.50c
2020	6309	6070	96.21a
2021	6163	5796	94.04b
Dam's age			*
2	4640	4325	93.21bc
3	4544	4294	94.49a
4	3756	3536	94.14ab
5	2988	2832	94.77a
6	4964	4657	93.81ab
7 $\geq$	10031	9303	92.74c
Gender			*
Male	15129	14111	93.27b
Female	15794	14836	93.93a
Birth Type			NS
Single	23914	22421	93.75
Twins	7009	6526	93.10
Overall	30923	28947	93.60

NBL: The number of live-born lambs, NBL4= The number of lambs that survived to 120 days of age; #: The survivability of lambs was determined according to the data of the 120th day; \*:P<0.05; NS: Nonsignificant

Different research findings from similar settings might be attributed to the differences in pregnancy care and feeding in farms and herds. The current study found the effects of gender, birth type, dam's age, and birth season as significant on Akkaraman's birth weight. Similar to the research findings, Aktaş et al. (2014) and Ceyhan et al. (2019) reported that the effects of gender, birth type, dam's age, and birth season were significant on birth weight in Akkaraman lambs. Contrary to the research findings, another study conducted on Akkaraman lambs found that the effect of gender on birth weight was insignificant. On the other hand, the same work found the impact of birth type as significant (Sakar and Erişek, 2019). Türkmen and Çak (2021), in their study on the same sheep breed in Van, found a significant effect of gender and birth type on the birth weight of lambs.

In the present study, the LW1 value determined for Akkaraman lambs (18.43 kg) was higher than the values reported by Odabaşoğlu et al. (1996) (17.42 kg), Akçapınar et al. (2000) (17.63 kg), Kucuk and Eydurhan (2009) (16.79 kg), Özmen et al. (2015) (17.27 kg), Türkmen and Çak (2021) (14.44 kg), but lower than the values reported by Şireli and Ertuğrul (2005) (21.30 kg), Yakan et al. (2012) (19.50 kg) Sakar and Erişek (2019) (22.11 kg). These different research findings might arise from the differences in growing conditions, care, feeding and herd breeding level. The study found the effect of birth year, gender, maternal age, and birth type on LW1 to be significant. Similar to this research finding, Sakar and Erişek (2019) reported a significant difference between singleton and twin Akkaraman lambs in LW1 but observed an insignificant difference between males and females. Reportedly, the effect of sex and birth type on LW1 was significant in these Akkaraman lambs raised in the Çaldıran district of Van.

In the current study, the LW2 value was 32.62 kg. LW2 value in Akkaraman lambs has varied between 28.40 and

31.7 kg in many previous studies (Akçapınar et al., 2000; Yakan et al., 2012; Aktaş et al., 2014; Özmen et al., 2015). In this study, the LW2 value determined for Akkaraman lambs was lower than the value reported by Sakar and Erişek (2019) (34.95 kg). The effects of birth year, gender, birth type, and dam's age on LW2 in Akkaraman lambs examined in the present study were significant. Two previous studies on the growth characteristics of Akkaraman lambs reported a significant effect of gender but an insignificant effect of dam's age on LW2 (Yakan et al., 2012; Aktaş et al., 2014). Similar to research findings, Yakan et al. (2012), Aktas et al. (2014), and Sakar and Erişek (2019) reported that the effect of birth type on LW2 was insignificant.

The DLWG found in this research was higher than the values documented by Odabaşoğlu et al. (1996) (208 g/lamb/day) and Ceyhan et al. (2019) (226 g/lamb/day). These different research findings might arise from the lamb age and care-feeding differences. As part of the "Native Breeding Project" in Çankırı city, Sakar and Erişek (2019) determined the DLWG value as 254.4 g/lamb/day in Akkaraman male lambs, 255.7 g/lamb/day in female lambs, 255.5 g/lamb/day in singleton lambs and 252.9 g/lamb/day in twin lambs. The current study determined the DLWG value for Akkaraman lambs as similar to the value reported by Sakar and Erişek (2019) in female and twin lambs, higher than the values reported for males and singletons. Again, the same researchers found insignificant DLWG differences between males and females and singletons and twins.

#### Reproductive Performance

In the study, the fecundity value determined in Akkaraman sheep (1.03) was higher than the values reported by Esen and Özbey (2002), Odabaşoğlu et al. (1996), Türkmen and Çak (2021) but similar to the values reported by Tekerli et al. (2002) (1.06 for the 1998-1999

birth season), Yakan et al. (2012) (1.02), Ceyhan et al. (2019) (1.01). This value in Akkaraman sheep was lower than those reported by Tekerli et al. (2002) (1.33 for the 1999-2000 birth season and 1.22 for the 2000-2001 birth season) and Özbey and Akcan (2000) (1.18).

The current study found the lowest fertility in 2017 (0.90) and the highest in 2019 (0.94) for Akkaraman sheep. In the study, the fertility of Akkaraman sheep was 0.92 over five years. This value was higher than the findings of numerous researchers (0.69-0.90) examining the progeny yield characteristics of the Akkaraman breed (Özbey and Akcan, 2000; Esen and Bozkurt, 2001; Esen and Özbey, 2002; Ünal et al., 2006; Yakan et al., 2012; Ceyhan et al., 2019; Güngör and Ünal, 2020; Türkmen and Çak, 2021). The fertility of Akkaraman sheep was reported by Akçapınar et al. (2000) as 0.93, by Özmen et al. (2015) as 0.94, and by Büyüktekin and Öztürk (2018) as 0.91. In the study, the fertility determined in Akkaraman sheep was similar to the values documented by Akçapınar et al. (2000) and Büyüktekin and Öztürk (2018), but lower than the value discovered by Özmen et al. (2015).

The litter size value for Akkaraman sheep was reported by Akçapınar et al. (2000) as 1.15 in 1996, by Özbey and Akcan (2000) as 1.39, by Esen and Bozkurt (2001) as 1.06 in the control group, by Esen and Özbey (2002) as 1.16, Tekerli et al. (2002) for the 1998-1999, 1999-2000, and 2000-2001 birth seasons as 1.33, 1.33, 1.31, respectively, by Ünal (2002) as 1.12, by Ünal et al. (2006) as (1.26), by Yıldız and Denk (2006) as 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.02 for Van/Central, Van/Edremit, Van/Saray and Saray/Sıımlı, respectively, by Demiral and İřcan (2012) as 1.20 in the control group, by Yakan et al. (2012) as 1.19, by Özmen et al. (2015) as 1.15, by Büyüktekin and Öztürk (2018) as 1.35, by Ceyhan et al. (2019) as 1.12, by Güngör and Ünal (2020) as 1.27, by Oğrak (2020) as 1.22, by Türkmen and Çak (2021) as 1.03. In this research, the fertility per lambing Akkaraman sheep (1.13) was similar to the values announced by Akçapınar et al. (2000) in 1996, Esen and Özbey (2002), Özmen et al. (2015), Ceyhan et al. (2019). Different findings of research groups might arise from the differences in the birth year, care, feeding, breeding levels of the herds, and the conditions of the region where the sheep grows.

### **Lamb Survivability**

Survivability is an indicator of adaptation to environmental conditions and can affect profitability in sheep farming (Koyuncu and Duymaz, 2017). The survivability in this research emerged between 88.50% (2019) and 96.21% (2020) over the years. The study found the survivability of Akkaraman lambs as 93.60% from birth to the 120th postnatal day. In the study, the Akkaraman breed SR value (96.21%) was higher than the value (90.06%) reported by Özmen et al. (2015) and lower than the value (97.00%) reported by Çolakođlu and Özbeyaz (1999). The SR value of 96.21% observed in the study over the years might indicate that the Akkaraman sheep are well-adapted to the regional conditions. Previous studies on the Akkaraman sheep survival rate between birth and 90 days documented that the survival rate in lambs varied between 90.39% and 97.67% (Akçapınar et al., 2000; Ünal, 2002; Güngör and Ünal, 2020; Oğrak, 2020; Türkmen and Çak, 2021).

As a result, in terms of LW2, a live weight increase of 5.96 kg and a producer's income increase of 20% were achieved in Akkaraman sheep in five years between 2017-2021. The highest birth weight was in 2018. An enhancement of approximately 100 g in birth weight per lamb and 1% in herd survivability has been achieved from the day project started to the day it ended. The effect of environmental factors on all developmental characteristics of Akkaraman lambs was significant. In this research, the survival and growth characteristics such as LW1, LW2, and DLWG of Akkaraman lambs reared rural farm conditions in Nigde province were similar to or higher than most literature reports. In the study, the litter size as a fertility characteristic was found to be partially lower than the value reported for Akkaraman in the literature.

It is predicted that a significant progress in the project will be achieved in development characteristics and fertility characteristics of the Akkaraman breed (especially litter size) in the next 5 years within the scope of National Sheep and Goat Breeding Project in the Hand of the Public in Nigde province by improving the breeding conditions.

### **Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by the General Directorate of Agricultural Researches and Policies (TAGEM) as part of National Sheep and Goat Breeding Projects "Nigde Akkaraman Sheep Breeding" (Project No: 2011AKK51-01). This study was presented as an oral presentation at the VI. International Anatolian Agriculture, Food, Environment and Biology Congress on 7-9 October 2022.

### **References**

- Akçapınar H. 2000. Koyun Yetiřtiriciliđi. Medisan Yayınevi. ISBN: 975-7774-05-7 Ankara.
- Akçapınar H, Özbeyaz C, Ünal N. 2000. The Possibilities of Developing Dam and Sire Lines Using Akkaraman, Sakız and Kıvrıcık Sheep Breeds for Lamb Production I. Fertility in Akkaraman Sheep, Survival Rate and Growth Characteristics of Sakız × Akkaraman F1 and Kıvrıcık × Akkaraman F1 Lambs. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, 24: 71-79.
- Aktaş AH, Ankaralı B, Halıcı I, Demirci U, Atik A, Yaylacı E. 2014. Growth Traits and Survival Rates of Akkaraman Lambs in Breeder Flocks in Konya Province. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, 38(1): 40-45. doi: 10.3906/vet-1303-3
- Aktaş AH, Dursun Ş, Dogan Ş, Kıyma Z, Demirci U, Halıcı I. 2015. Effects of Ewe Live Weight and Age on Reproductive Performance, Lamb Growth, and Survival in Central Anatolian Merino Sheep. Archives Animal Breeding, 58(2): 451-459. doi: 10.5194/aab-58-451-2015
- Behrem S. 2021. Effects of Environmental Factors Growth Traits of Akkaraman Sheep in Çankırı Province. Livestock Studies, 61(1):22-27. doi: 10.46897/livestockstudies.610104
- Bingöl E, Bingöl M. 2015. The Growth of Lambs and Body Measurement Traits of Hamdani Ewes Yuzuncu Yıl University Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 25(2): 200-206. doi: 10.29133/yyutbd.236274
- Boztepe S, Özbayat Hİ, Dađ B. 1994. Akkaraman Koyunlarında Bazı Çevre Faktörlerinin Doğum ve Sütten Kesim Ađırlığına Etkileri. Selçuk Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi, 5(7): 172-181.

- Büyüktekin M, Öztürk A. 2018. Effects of Some Factors on Reproduction Performance of Akkaraman Sheep in Breeder Flocks in Konya Province, Turkey. *Selçuk Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 32(1): 87-90. doi: 10.15316/SJAIFS.2018.69
- Ceyhan A, Şekeroğlu A, Duman M. 2019. Some Reproductive Traits and Lambs Growth Performance of Akkaraman Sheep Raised in Niğde Province. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture Food Science and Technology*, 7(10):1509-1514. doi: 10.24925/turjaf.v7i10.1509-1514.2249
- Çolakoğlu N, Özbeyaz C, 1999. Comparison of Some Production Traits in Malya and Akkaraman Sheep. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 23(4): 351-360.
- Dağ B, Boztepe S, Özbayat Hİ. 2000. Effect of Environmental Factors on Some Production Traits and Their Repeatabilities in Akkaraman Sheep. *Hayvancılık Araştırma Dergisi*, 10(1-2): 11-16.
- Demiral K, Işcan KM. 2012. Effect of Flushing Application on Fertility Parameters in Akkaraman Sheep. *Journal of The Faculty of Veterinary of Medicine Erciyes Universty*, 9(1): 23-28.
- Dogan İ, Şahin F. 2003. Investigation of Birth Type and Sex Factors Which Effect the Birth Weight in Lambs by Bare-Bones META Analysis. Ankara Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi, 50(2): 135-140.
- Düzgüneş O, Kesici T, Kavuncu O, Gurbuz F. 1987. Araştırma ve Deneme Metotları (İstatistik Metotlar II). University of Ankara, Faculty of Agriculture Publishing No: 1021, Ankara.
- Esen F, Yıldız N. 2000. Production Characteristics of White Karaman, Chios × White Karaman (F1) Crossbred Lambs. I. Growth, Survival Ability and Body Measures. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 24: 223-231.
- Esen, F, Bozkurt T. 2001. Effect of Flushing and Oestrus Synchronization Application on Fertility in Akkaraman Sheep. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 25(3): 365-368.
- Esen F, Özbey O. 2002. Fertility and Milk Yield Characteristics in White Karaman and Chios × White Karaman (F1) Crossbred Sheep. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 26(3): 503-9.
- Gaur P, Malik, Z.S, Bangar YC, Magotra A, Chauhan A, Yadav DK. 2022. Influence of Maternal and Additive Genetic Effects on Lamb Survival in Harnali Sheep. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*, 139: 204–214. doi: 10.1111/jbg.12655
- Güngör ÖF, Ünal N. 2020. Some Production Characteristics of Bafra, Akkaraman, Bafra × Akkaraman F1 and B1 Sheep Genotypes. *Ankara Univ Vet Fak Derg.*, 67: 335-42.
- İpek P. 2012. Growth, Survival Ability and Body Measures in Awassi Lambs Weaned at Different Periods. MSc Thesis, Institute of Graduate Studies in Health Sciences, Firat University, Elazığ, Turkey.
- IBM Corp. Released, 2015. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- Karakuş F. 2007. Growth, Fattening Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Karakuş and (Ile de France × Akkaraman B1) × Karakuş F1 and F2 Crossbreed Lambs. Phd Dissertation, Institute of Natural and Applied Science, Yuzuncu Yıl University, Van, Turkey.
- Kaymakçı M. 2006. İleri Koyun Yetistirciliği. Publ. N. 1 İzmir Sheep-Goat Breeders Association, İzmir, Turkey.
- Koyuncu M, Duymaz Y. 2017. Improving Lamb Survival. *Journal of Animal Production*, 58(1): 46-56. doi: 10.29185/hayuretim.338030
- Kutlu MA, Çelik Ş, Kaygusuzoğlu E. 2022. Investigation of Growth Performance of Akkaraman Lambs Raised by Public in Bingöl Province. *KSU J. Agric Nat*, 25(6): 1502-1509. doi: 10.18016/ksutarimdoga.vi.1011360
- Kucuk M, Eydurhan E. 2009. The Determination of The Best Growth Model for Akkaraman and German Blackheaded Mutton × Akkaraman B1 Crossbreed Lambs. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 15(1): 90-92.
- Maud BA, Duffell SJ. 1977. Lamb Mortality in Relytion to Prolificacy. *Animal Production*, 24: 158-159.
- Morris CA, Hickey SM, Clarke, JN. 2000. Genetic and Environmental Factors Affecting Lamb Survival at Birth and Through to Weaning, *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 43(4): 515–524. doi: 10.1080/00288233.2000.9513448
- Mundan D, Özbeyaz C. 2004. Milk Yield of White Karaman (WK), Kıvrıkcık × WK B1 and Chios × WK B1 Ewes and Growth and Livability of Crossbred Lambs. *Journal of Lalahan Livestock Research Institute*, 44(2): 23-35.
- Noyan M, Ceyhan A. 2021. Growth Performance of Akkaraman Lambs Raised in Semi-Intensive Conditions. *Journal of Agriculture, Food, Environment and Animal Science*, 2(2): 147-162.
- Odabaşoğlu F, Öztürk Y, Arsla, M. 1996. The Investigation of Survival Rate and Growth Characteristics of Akkaraman, Hampshire × Akkaraman (F1), Corriedale × Akkaraman (F1) Lambs. *Van Health Sciences Journal*, 1(2): 98-105.
- Oğrak YZ. 2020. Fertility Traits of Kangal Akkaraman Sheep Reared in Breeder Conditions in Sivas Province. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, 8(12): 2651-2656. doi: 10.24925/turjaf.v8i12.2651-2656.3850
- Özbey O, Akcan A. 2000. Production Performance of Morkaraman, Akkaraman and Awassi Sheep Under Semi-Intensive Conditions II. Growth and Survival Rates of Lambs. *Eurasian Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 17(1): 57-66.
- Özbey O, Akcan A. 2003. Production Characteristics of Morkaraman, Kıvrıkcık × Morkaraman and Chios × Morkaraman Crossbred Lamb I. Growth, Survival Ability and Body Measures. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 9(1): 15-21.
- Özmen Ö, Kul S, Gök T. 2015. Some Production Traits of White Karaman Ewes and Lambs Reared in The Farm Conditions in Elazığ Province. *Firat University Veterinary Journal of Health Sciences*, 29(2): 81-85.
- Öztürk A, Dogan Ş, Zülkadir U, Kayar H. 2018. The Effects of Some Environmental Factors on Birth Weight and Estimation of Heritability and Repeatability for Birth Weight of Akkaraman Sheep in Konya Province. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, 6(12): 1755-1757. doi: 10.24925/turjaf.v6i12.1755-1757.2068
- Sakar ÇM, Erişek A. 2019. Development of Akkaraman Lambs in Cankiri Region from Birth to 120 Days. *Black Sea Journal of Agriculture*, 2(1): 16-20.
- Sakar ÇM, Ünal İ. 2021. Determination of Growth Characteristics of Akkaraman Lambs Raised in Çankırı Province. *Journal of Animal Production*, 62(1): 61-66. doi: 10.29185/hayuretim.790939
- Sarı M, Önk K, Aksoy AR, Tilki M. 2013. The Effect of Body Condition Score in Tuj Sheep at Lambing on the Lamb Growth Traits and Liveability. *Firat University Veterinary Journal of Health Sciences*, 27(3): 149-154.
- Sarı M, Aksoy Y, Eriş H, Önk K, Işık SA, Tilki M. 2022. Effects of Breed and Fattening System on Fatty Acid and Chemical Composition of Meat from Male Lambs. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 52(1): 57-66. doi: 10.4314/sajas.v52i1.8
- Sawalha RM, Conington J, Brotherstone S, Villanueva B. 2007. Analyses of Lamb Survival of Scottish Blackface Sheep. *Animal*, 1(1): 151-157. doi: 10.1017/S1751731107340056
- Şahin A, Aksoy Y, Uğurlutepe E, Kul E, Ulutaş Z. 2021. Fatty Acid Profiles and Some Meat Quality Traits at Different Slaughter Weights of Brown Swiss Bulls. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 53(3): 1-10. doi: 10.1007/s11250-021-02817-w

- Şireli HD, Ertuğrul M. 2005. The Repeatibilities of Live Weight and Body Measurements in Akkaraman, BD1 × BD1 (Dorset Down × Akkaraman) and Akkaraman × BD1 Lambs. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 11(1): 1-6
- Şireli HD. 2019. Determination of Effect of Sheep Birth Weights and Body Condition Score on Lamb Birth Weight in Awassi Sheep. *Dicle Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi*, 12(1): 20-24.
- Şirin E, Uçan Ü, Şen U, Soydan E. 2017. Effect on Birth and Other Weights of the Lambs of Add Feeding at Late Gestation in Akkaraman Sheep. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, 5(11): 1353-1359. doi: 10.24925/turjaf.v5i11.1353-1359.1405
- Tekerli M, Gündoğan M, Akıncı Z, Akcan A., 2002. Determination of Production Characteristics of Akkaraman, Daglic, Chios and Awassi Sheep in The Conditions of Afyon Province I - Fertility and Survival rate. *Journal of Lalahan Livestock Research Institute*, 42(2): 29-36.
- TurkStat, 2021. Livestock statistics. Available from: <https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Kategori/GetKategori?p=tarim-111&dil=1> [Accessed 15 September 2022].
- Türkmen C, Çak B. 2021. The Investigation of Some Production Traits of Akkaraman Sheep Bred in Çaldıran. *Van Health Sciences Journal*, 14(1): 63-73. doi: 10.52976/vansaglik.790459
- Türkyılmaz D, Özyürek S, Dağdelen Ü, Esenbuğa N, Yaprak M. 2021. Investigation of Some Reproductive Traits of Awassi and Romanov × Awassi Ewes and Survival Ability and Growth Characteristics of Their Lambs. *Journal of Animal Science and Products*, 4(2): 127-135. doi: 10.51970/jasp.1033764
- Ünal N. 2002. Production Characteristics of White Karaman, Chios x White Karaman (F1) Crossbred Lambs. I. Growth, Survival Ability and Body Measures. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 26(1): 109-116.
- Ünal N, Akcapinar H, Atasoy F, Aytac M. 2006. Some Reproductive and Growth Traits of Crossbred Genotypes Produced by Crossing Local Sheep Breeds of Kivircik × White Karaman and Chios × White Karaman in Steppe Conditions. *Archives Animal Breeding*, 49(1): 55-63. doi: 10.5194/aab-49-55-2006
- Ürüşan H, Emsen H. 2010. Effect of Lambing Season, Lamb Genotype, Maternal and Birth Related Factors on Growth and Viability of Lambs. *Journal of Tekirdag Agricultural Faculty*, 7(3): 163-172.
- Yakan A, Ünal N, Dalcı MT. 2012. Reproductive Traits, Growth and Survival Rates of Akkaraman, Awassi and Kivircik Sheep Breeds in Ankara Condition. *Journal of Lalahan Livestock Research Institute*, 52(1): 1-10.
- Yıldız N, Denk H. 2006. Some of Production Traits of Akkaraman Ewes Raised by Farmers in Van Region II. Fleece Yield, Fleece Length, Body Measurements, Birth Weights of Lambs and Lamb Survival. *Firat University Veterinary Journal of Health Sciences*, 20(1): 29-37.