

**Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology** 

Available online, ISSN: 2148-127X | www.agrifoodscience.com | Turkish Science and Technology Publishing (TURSTEP)

# *In silico* analyses of miRNAs that Target Odorant Binding and Chemosensory Proteins in *Bemisia tabaci*

# Mehtap Bal<sup>1,a,\*</sup>, Sevgi Marakli<sup>2,b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Yildiz Technical University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, 34230, Istanbul, Türkiye \*Corresponding author

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T			
Research Article	The whitefly, <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> , damages various crops by releasing honeydew and spreading. Although farmers and pest control experts primarily rely on insecticides to manage whiteflies, the notable issue is their tendency to develop resistance to major insecticide categories, posing a			
Received : 15.11.2024 Accepted : 05.12.2024	significant challenge. This result has led to the improvement of new drugs or insecticide mixtures. In addition, some plant-based studies have been conducted to control whiteflies, and RNA interference (RNAi) technology has been used in recent years. This study aimed to identify the			
<i>Keywords:</i> RNAi Tobacco Cotton Tomato Linen	interference (RNAI) technology has been used in recent years. This study aimed to identify the relationships between tobacco, cotton, tomato, and linen miRNAs and odorant-binding protein ( <i>OBP</i> ) and chemosensory protein ( <i>CSP</i> ) genes in whiteflies by using <i>in silico</i> approaches. We determined that 115 miRNAs belonging to these plants targeted 13 <i>CSP</i> and 8 <i>OBP</i> genes of <i>B. tabaci</i> . Obtaining findings are important to reduce dependency on chemicals and pesticides in pestimanagement.			
a 😒 mehtap.bal@std.yildiz.edu.tr 🛛 🌓	https://orcid.org/0009-0008-0290-4974   b smarakli@yildiz.edu.tr b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5796-7819			



# Introduction

Various pests and pathogens cause a reduction in plant growth and development. These pathogens, including viruses, bacteria, fungi and oomycetes, can be commensal, symbiotic, and pathogenic characteristics (Wang et al., 2022; Karanfil et al., 2023; Randa-Zelyut et al., 2023). Certain pathogens can directly penetrate plant tissues, while others enter through wounds or natural openings. Vector-borne pathogens can be introduced directly into vascular tissues. Each tissue invasion method differs in different cells and tissue types (Faulkner et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2020; Kashyap et al., 2020).

Besides plant pathogen microorganisms, plant pests are also responsible for 18-26% of worldwide crop loss annually (Culiney et al., 2014). These pests include insects, mites, nematodes, birds, and others. They can cause damage to crops by feeding on plant tissues, transmitting diseases, competing for nutrients, or inhibiting plant growth and development. Effective management of plant pests is essential for maintaining agricultural productivity and ensuring food security (Lucas et al., 2011).

The whitefly, *B. tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) is one of the most important agricultural pests (Stansly et al., 2010; Inoue-Nagata et al., 2016; Czosnek et al., 2017). It affects crops through direct feeding or transmission of viruses (Brown et al., 1995; Navas-Castillo et al., 2011). More than 350 viral species, including Begomovirus, Carlavirus, Crinivirus, Ipomovirus, and Torradovirus, have been documented to infect over 1000 plants (Jones et al., 2003; Abd-Rabou et al., 2010; Götz et al., 2016; Rodríguez et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2019). Yellowing, leaf folding, reduced growth, and malformed fruit can be seen in affected plants (Khan et al. 2018). *B. tabaci* Middle East-Asia Minor1 (MEAM1) and *B. tabaci* Mediterranean (MED) species are highly invasive and have inflicted significant economic losses (Luo et al., 2002; Chu et al., 2006).

It has been proved that controlling whiteflies is difficult. Insecticides are used to manage this pest because of their convenience and efficacy. However, targeting whiteflies with insecticides is problematic due to their feeding habits on the underside of the leaves (Simmons et al., 2000; Simmons et al., 2005; Simmons et al., 2011; Horowitz et al., 2020). Therefore, whitefly management can be accomplished through a combination of physical and mechanical methods, biological control, plant-based products and/or biotechnical strategies (Perring et al., 2018; Razze et al., 2016; Ibrahim et al., 2017; Shejulpatil et al., 2019). Furthermore, biotechnological strategies for *B. tabaci* include transgenesis and RNA interference (RNAi) (Pan et al., 2012; Hunter et al., 2021).

The ability to perceive chemicals and detect odors is vital for the normal life cycle of insects, playing a crucial role in numerous physiological behaviors, including mating, host-seeking, and distinguishing food sources (Leal et al., 2013; French et al., 2015). Proteins associated with insect olfaction primarily comprise odorant binding proteins (OBPs), chemosensory proteins (CSPs), odorant receptors (ORs), and sensory neuron membrane proteins (Liu et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2015). OBPs and CSPs within this group are small soluble proteins found in high concentrations in the sensillum lymph of insects, particularly in the head region (Honson et al., 2005; Pelosi et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2010). These proteins play vital roles in aiding insects to detect chemical signals, thereby significantly influencing their behaviors (Tunstall et al., 2012). Consequently, they represent important molecular targets for designing and developing new pest management systems (Qiao et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2012). Our aim was to determine the targets of whitefly chemical and odor genes in specific plants and to target B. tabaci by using in silico methods.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Retrieval of Tobacco, Cotton, Tomato and Linen

Mature miRNAs belonging to tobacco, cotton, tomato and linen miRNAs were retrieved from miRBase (https://www.mirbase.org). miRBase is a public repository and standard online reference source for all documented miRNA sequences, offering textual annotations and gene nomenclature (Kozomara et al. 2019). We obtained 60 tobacco, 50 cotton, 101 tomato and 16 linen mature miRNAs from miRBase and examined them in this study.

# Obtaining from CSP and OBP genes

The sequence of 13 *CSP* and 8 *OBP* genes of *B. tabaci* were downloaded from NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). These genes and accession numbers were shown in Table 1.

Table 1. CSP and OBP sequences

Gene Name	Accession Number
CSP1	KT694344
CSP2	KT694345
CSP3	KT694346
CSP4	KT694347
CSP5	KT694348
CSP6	KY305449
CSP7	KY305450
CSP8	KY305451
CSP9	KY305452
CSP10	KY305453
CSP11	KY305454
CSP12	KY305455
CSP13	KY305456
OBP1	KY305457
OBP2	KY305458
OBP3	KY305459
OBP4	KY305460
OBP5	KY305461
OBP6	KY305462
OBP7	KY305463
OBP8	KT358500

#### **Target Prediction**

RNAhybrid tool was used (https://bibiserv.cebitec.unibielefeld.de/rnahybrid) to target predictions. For this purpose, a total of 227 miRNAs belonging to tobacco, cotton, tomato and linen were investigated whether they target *OBP* and *CSP* genes or not. The results were evaluated according to Marakli (2020). The positions and MFE values of miRNAs were recorded and the graph was drawn using MATLAB (MATLAB, R2023b MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA).

#### **Phylogenetic Tree**

After the detection of miRNA that targets *CSP* and *OBP* genes, a phylogenetic tree was constructed to examine evolutionary relationships. For this purpose, the MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018) program was used with the following criteria: Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou et al., 1987), genetic distances computed using the p-distance model (Nei and Kumar,2000) and even bootstrap resampling using 1000 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985). After the phylogenetic tree was created in MEGA X, Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) (https://itol.embl.de) was used to manage and display the phylogenetic tree (Letunic and Bork, 2024).

# Results

According to the rules for target prediction, we identified 23 and 21 tobacco miRNAs that target *CSP* and *OBP* genes, respectively. For cotton, the numbers of miRNAs were 10 for *CSP* and 12 for *OBP*. Moreover, tomato miRNAs were 34 and 21 for *CSP* and *OBP* genes, respectively while 7 and 9 linen miRNAs were detected. We detected that some miRNAs target both *CSP* and *OBP* genes. The table containing plant genes, their interacting miRNAs, the corresponding interaction positions, and the MFE values were shown in Table 2a,b,c. The positions and MFE values of miRNAs were indicated in Figure 1.

The evolutionary relationships among a total of 100 miRNAs belonging to tobacco, tomato, linen and cotton which target CSP and OBP genes were evaluated. We observed that all sequences were divided into 3 groups in the phylogenetic tree. Furthermore, miRNAs found in 4 different plants were detected in each clade (Figure 2). Our analysis revealed that some of the miRNAs are classified as sister taxa. For example, sly-miR10535a and slymiR10535b were found in sister clade that were predicted to interact with CSP 11, while nta-miR479a was a sister group to nta-miR479b which targeted OBP 8. Furthermore, sly-miR171d shared high sequence homology with slymiR171e, targeting CSP 7. These miRNAs are predicted to interact with the same genes, supporting the notion that similar sequences may target similar genes (Friedman et al., 2009).

Nevertheless, the sequences appear to target the same gene even if they are not similar. For instance, ntamiR1919 and sly-miR1919a were found in sister clades and both of them were predicted to target *CSP* 5. Similarly, nta-miR399a and ghr-miR399a form another pair of sister clades, targeting *CSP* 6. Furthermore, there was another sister clade including sly-miR397-5p and lus-miR397a which targeted *CSP* 10.

Gene	Plant	miRNA	Position	MFE (kcal/mol)
	Tobacco	nta-miR168a	197	-34.2
		ghr-miR3476-5p	55	-33.2
	Cotton	ghr-miR7496a	1	-22.4
CSP1		sly-miR394-3p	18	-24.2
	Tomato	sly-miR477-5p	140	-24.3
	Linen	lus-miR395e	268	-23.8
	Tobacco	nta-miR477b	307	-21.6
	Cotton	ghr-miR482b	318	-22.2
		sly-miR9470-3p	318	-21.4
CSP2		sly-miR166c-5p	99	-23.7
	Tomato	sly-miR9476-5p	248	-22.6
		sly-miR9479-5p	265	-21.8
		sly-miR399b	316	-21.5
		sly-miR482e-5p	221	-25
		sly-miR164a-3p	326	-24.2
CSP3	Tomato	sly-miR403-5p	175	-21.2
CSI 5	Tomato	sly-miR482d-5p	40	-24.3
		sly-miR10537	326	-20.7
		sly-miR7981f	172	-23.6
	Tobacco	nta-miR5303c	5	-24.4
	Cotton	ghr-miR7497	3	-24.1
	Cotton	ghr-miR7504a	9	-22.6
CSP4		sly-miR156e-3p	23	-24.6
	Tomato	sly-miR477-3p	108	-26.3
	<b>.</b>	sly-miR10531	139	-28.2
	Linen	lus-miR16/a	1	-25.7
	Tobacco	nta-miR1919	46	-24.3
CCD -		nta-miR6148b	337	-21.3
CSPS	<b>T</b> 4	sly-miR1919a	46	-24.9
	Tomato	siy-miR1919C-3p	234	-23.5
		sty-miR94/1a-5p	205	-24
		ma-miR399a	203	-23.4
		111111111111111111111111111111111111	105	-20.7
	Tobacco	nta-miR6025c	179	-20.7
	1004000	nta-miR6150	457	-23.5
		nta-miR5303c	180	-27.9
		nta-miR6161d	168	-22.9
		ghr-miR399a	554	-23.7
	Cotton	ghr-miR3476-5p	420	-26.7
CSP6		ghr-miR7489	222	-28
		sly-miR6023	552	-20.9
	Tomato	sly-miR482c	218	-21.7
		sly-miR6026	323	-22.4
		sly-miR319c-5p	546	-27.3
		sly-miR9469-3p	599	-27.5
		sly-miR167b-3p	215	-22.7
		sly-miR10536	161	-21.9
	Linen	lus-miR398f	686	-28.5
CSP7	Cotton	ghr-miR7489	151	-22.2
	Tomato	sly-miR171d	172	-27.1
		sly-miR395a	50	-25.5
		sly-miR171e	164	-25.8
	Linen	lus-miR398f	164	-25.5
CSP8	Tobacco	nta-miR6148a	279	-22.2
	1000000	nta-miR6150	288	-20.2
	Cotton	ghr-miR2948-5p	18	-20.9
	Tomato	sly-miR1918	114	-22.6
		sly-miR394-3p	1	-21.7
	Linen	lus-miR398f	149	-20.5

Table 2a. Detail information for miRNA and their targets

Gene	Plant	miRNA	Position	MFE (kcal/mol)
Gene	Thun	nta-miR6020b	251	-20.9
		nta-miR6144	235	-22.1
	Tobacco	nta miP5202h	235	-22.1
CSPO		ma-miR(55050)	161	-25
0.51 9	Cotton	abr miP2050	20	-22.8
	Couon	glif-IIIR2930	20	-20
	Tomato	sly-miR1918	1//	-20.4
	<b>T</b> 1	siy-miR9469-3p	234	-21.4
	Tobacco	nta-miR6154b	77	-22.7
	Cotton	ghr-miR3476-5p	54	-23.7
CSP10	Tomato	sly-miR397-5p	151	-23.3
	Tomato	sly-miR9471a-3p	119	-23.9
	Linen	lus-miR397a	151	-24.1
		nta-miR477b	176	-24.9
	Tobacco	nta-miR6145a	163	-23
		nta-miR6163	22	-20.4
	Cotton	ghr-miR7506	279	-33.3
		sly-miR1918	75	-21.8
		sly-miR6022	323	-22.8
CCD11	T	sly-miR403-5p	271	-21
CSPII	Tomato	sly-miR10531	141	-25.5
		sly-miR10535a	99	-22.5
		sly-miR10535b	99	-21.6
		lus-miR395e	156	-22.1
		lus-miR398c	199	-25.7
	Linen	lus-miR398e	198	-28
		lus-miR398f	199	-24.6
		nta-miR 397	66	-23.8
	Tobacco	nta-miR479a	38	-20.1
CSP12	Tobacco	nta-miR61/9a	285	-20.1
	Linan	lus miP160d	1	-23.5
	Lillen	nts miR1090	1	-23
		$\frac{1112}{1111} = \frac{11111}{1111} = \frac{11111}{1111} = \frac{11111}{1111} = \frac{111111}{1111} = \frac{111111}{11111} = \frac{1111111}{11111} = \frac{111111111}{111111} = \frac{1111111111}{1111111111111111111111111$	261	-21.8
	Tobacco	nta-miR6150	202	-7.2
		nta-miko135	139	-23.8
CSP13		$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{10}$	93	-21.5
	Cotton	gnr-miR482b	40	-23.6
		ghr-miR2948-5p	18	-26.8
	Tomato	sly-miR6022	101	-20.4
	- 1	sly-miR482c	60	-25.4
	Tobacco	nta-miR479b	182	-21.5
	Cotton	ghr-miR169b	37	-23.5
	Tomato	sly-miR6027-5p	54	-21.4
		sly-miR9471b-3p	144	-24.1
		sly-miR9471a-3p	247	-22.6
ORP1		sly-miR156e-5p	22	-26.6
ODI I		sly-miR156e-3p	135	-24.2
		sly-miR399b	138	-27.8
		sly-miR10536	185	-22.3
	Linen	lus-miR159c	149	-24.1
		lus-miR396d	130	-21.5
		lus-miR398e	249	-20.7
OBP2	Tobacco	nta-miR168a	637	-27.9
		nta-miR6158a	39	-25.3
	Cotton	ghr-miR160	6	_25.3
		$g_{\rm m}$ miR7502	109	-23.3
	Tomato	giir-iiiiK/303	108	-20
		siy-mik1919a	585	-20.3
		sly-m1R9476-5p	95	-20.8

Table 2b. Detail information for miRNA and their targets

Gene	Plant	miRNA	Position	MFE (kcal/mol)
	Tabassa	nta-miR171a	623	-21.9
	100acco	nta-miR6154b	266	-23.5
	Cotton	ghr-miR160	20	-26.6
	Cotton	ghr-miR7510a	90	-30.8
		sly-miR1918	706	-23.8
ORD3	Tomato	sly-miR6023	84	-21.4
OBI 5		sly-miR397-3p	29	-22.4
		lus-miR167a	211	-23.4
		lus-miR159b	87	-25.2
	Linen	lus-miR172j	117	-26.6
		lus-miR159c	88	-25.5
		lus-miR398f	67	-29
		nta-miR6144	250	-24.6
	Tobacco	nta-miR6154b	42	-26.9
OBP4		nta-miR6155	392	-26.3
	Tamata	sly-miR395a	353	-24.3
	Tomato	sly-miR10531	234	-21.3
		nta-miR6021	307	-24.6
	Tobacco	nta-miR6024	485	-20
		nta-miR6145e	340	-20.5
OBP5	Cotton	ghr-miR172	415	-23
	Tomato	sly-miR9478-3p	145	-23.4
	<b>τ</b> .	lus-miR166b	386	-28.4
	Linen	lus-miR172j	214	-20.9
		nta-miR482b-5p	13	-22.5
		nta-miR169t	9	-21
		nta-miR477a	152	-22.8
	Tobacco	nta-miR477b	152	-21.2
		nta-miR6144	141	-2.2
		nta-miR6145e	318	-25.2
		ghr-miR2949a-5n	388	25.2
	Cotton	ghr-miR2949c	388	-23.7
ORP6		sly-miR482e-5n	14	-23.7
ODI 0		sly-miR6026	17	-23.3
	Tomato	sly-miR169e-5n	8	-25
	Tomato	sly-miR398a	352	-21.7
		sly miP10533	152	-50.4
		lus miD2080	252	-20.3
		lus miP172i	555	-27.3
	Linen	$lus miR_{2080}$	252	-22.0
		lus miD208f	252	-27.4
		nto miR477o	<u> </u>	25.4
		111111111111111111111111111111111111	090	-23.4
	Tobacco	111111111111111111111111111111111111	090	-23.3
OBP7		ma-min(014)a	227	22.3 25.6
	C - #-	$\frac{11111111110103}{2}$	20/	-23.0
	Cotton	$g_{\rm HI}$ -mik /485 alv. miP0/460.2m	J80 102	-28./
	Tomata	siy-miR(9409-5p)	123	-24.4
	romato	siy-iiiiK94/0-3p	021	-24.2
		siy-mik10333	203	-20.1
	Linen	108-m1K598C	9	-30.6
		IUS-m1K398e	/	-31
OBP8 Cotton Linen		nta-miR168a	28	-25.3
		nta-m1K4/9a	285	-22
		nta-miR479b	285	-21.3
	Tobacco	nta-miR6025e	14	-22
		nta-miR6156	162	-21.1
		nta-miR6145d	354	-21.3
		nta-miR6145e	354	-21.6
		nta-miR5303c	5	-22.3
		ghr-miR399c	120	-20.4
	Cotton	ghr-miR7497	325	-22.4
	Conon	ghr-miR7505	410	-21.4
		ghr-miR7512	398	-23.7
	Linen	lus-miR398f	218	-25.5

Table 2c. Detail information for miRNA and their targets



Figure 1. The 3D graphics of miRNAs. Red, blue, brown and green dots indicated tobacco, tomato, linen and cotton, respectively.



Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree generated using miRNAs belonging to tobacco, tomato, linen and cotton.

On the other hand, nta-miR482b-5p and sly-miR482e-5p were sister groups to nta-miR169t and sly-miR169e-5p, and targeted *OBP* 6. Similarly, lus-miR172j and ghr-miR172 were found as a sister group, targeting *OBP* 5. This observation suggests that while sequence similarity may often correlate with similar gene targets, this relationship is not universally applicable (Affonso-Grunz and Müller, 2015).

#### Discussion

The whitefly is an important pest that causes serious damage to various plants, and it is crucial to improve new management practices. The use of RNAi technology among applications has been gaining increasing interest in recent years (Animasaun et al., 2023). In these studies, RNAimediated gene silencing has been successfully applied to different genes. In this study, we analyzed the relationships between miRNAs - CSPs and miRNAs - OBPs to determine the potential of candidate miRNAs to be used in the management of this pest. CSPs and OBPs are responsible for capturing external odorants and transporting them to olfactory receptors which are crucial for the development of the insect olfactory system (Leal et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014; Pelosi et al., 2014). In insects, the number of CSP genes varies widely, with nearly 70 in Locusta migratoria (Zhou et al., 2013). Similarly, the number of OBP-coding genes is different across insect species ranging from 13 in some ants (McKenzie et al., 2014) to over 100 in certain mosquitoes (Manoharan et al., 2013).

In previous studies, CSPs and OBPs were studied in RNAi studies. Waris et al. (2018) showed that the silencing of a chemosensory protein of Nilaparvata lugens changed the behavior of ligand-binding specificity and decreased the behavioral responses. Rebijith et al. (2016) reported that partially silenced OBP from Aphis gossypi affected antennal response to compounds. Moreover, five sensoryrelated genes were screened in another pest, Agrilus planipennis, for RNAi and they focused on three OBPs (Fan et al., 2022). These genes showed significant expression differences between newly emerged and mature emerald ash borers. Following dsRNA injection, gene expression was notably down-regulated. Gong et al. (2012) revealed that silencing SexiCSP3 in Spodoptera exigua females reduced survival, oviposition, and egg hatching, highlighting RNAi's role in studying reproductive genes. These results showed that RNAi technology can be used for insecticides. On the other hand, there is no study about the relationship between plant miRNAs, CSPs and OBPs genes in whiteflies. We evaluate the interactions between 4 different plants (tobacco, cotton, tomato and linen) that are affected by this pest and 13 CSP and 8 OBP genes in B. tabaci. Recent studies have increasingly focused on understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying the interactions between B. tabaci and miRNAs. These small RNAs play a crucial role in regulating gene expression, impacting various physiological processes (Hasegawa et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Researchers are particularly interested in how miRNAs influence the insect's development, reproduction, and adaptability to environmental stressors, as well as how they might contribute to its resistance to insecticides. A plantmediated strategy using artificial miRNA (amiRNA) targeting three important whitefly genes (Sxl, AChE, and Orc) was transferred to tobacco plants. They reported decreased whitefly populations with fewer eggs hatching and slower development (Zubair et al., 2020). Furthermore, Gong et al. (2023) provided basic data on whitefly miRNA patterns, highlighting novel\_miR-1517 as a key miRNA that regulates *CYP6CM1* gene. This gene is involved in the response to imidacloprid, a pesticide, suggesting that miRNAs could be potential targets for managing imidacloprid resistance in whiteflies. In another study, Shen et al. (2024) identified Bta-miR-998 and Bta-miR-129 which were associated with temperature tolerance.

#### Conclusion

Previous studies have demonstrated that silencing certain *CSP* (Waris et al., 2018) and *OBP* (Rebijith et al., 2016) genes can alter insect behavior. Considering this, we aimed to identify miRNAs in tomato, tobacco, linen, and cotton, which are commercially significant crops commonly affected by *B. tabaci*. Our analysis revealed a total of 115 miRNAs and 21 genes, which were subsequently matched *in silico*. The results indicated that these genes were compatible with the identified miRNAs. Based on these findings, future studies can focus on targeting these genes using the identified miRNAs to protect these plants against whiteflies. This approach offers a sustainable and environmentally friendly alternative to pest control, reducing reliance on pesticides and chemicals.

#### Declarations

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the research.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all those who contributed to the success of this study.

#### References

- Abd-Rabou, S. & Simmons, A. M. (2010). Survey of reproductive host plants of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in Egypt, including new host records. *Entomological News*, 121(5), 456-465. doi: 10.3157/021.121.0507
- Afonso-Grunz, F. & Müller, S. (2015). Principles of miRNA– mRNA interactions: beyond sequence complementarity. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 72, 3127-3141. doi: 10.1007/s00018-015-1922-2
- Animasaun, D. A., Adedibu, P. A., Shkryl, Y., Emmanuel, F. O., Tekutyeva, L., & Balabanova, L. (2023). Modern plant biotechnology: an antidote against global food insecurity. *Agronomy*, 13(8), 2038. doi: 10.3390/agronomy13082038
- Brown, J. K., Frohlich, D. E., & Rosell, R. C. (1995). The sweet potato or silverleaf whiteflies: Biotypes of *Bemisia tabaci* or a species complex? *Annual Review of Entomology*, 40(1), 511-534. doi:10.1146/annurev.en.40.010195.002455
- Burnett, T. (1949). The effect of temperature on an insect hostparasite population. *Ecology*, 30(2), 113-134. doi:10.2307/1931181
- Chen, W., Hasegawa, D. K., Kaur, N., Kliot, A., Pinheiro, P. V., Luan, J., ... & Fei, Z. (2016). The draft genome of whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* MEAM1, a global crop pest, provides novel insights into virus transmission, host adaptation, and insecticide resistance. *BMC Biology*, 14, 1-15. doi:10.1186/s12915-016-0321-y

- Chen, W., Wosula, E. N., Hasegawa, D. K., Casinga, C., Shirima, R. R., Fiaboe, K. K. M., Hanna, R., Fosto, A., Goergen, G., Tamò, M., Mahuku, G., Murithi, H. M., Tripathi, L., Mware, B., Kumar, L. P., Ntawuruhunga, P., Moyo, C., Yomeni, M., Boahen, S., Edet, M., ... & Fei, Z. (2019). Genome of the African cassava whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* and distribution and genetic diversity of cassava-colonizing whiteflies in Africa. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 110, 112–120. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.05.003
- Dong, Chu, Zhang, Y.-J., Brown, J. K., Cong, B., Xu, B.-Y., Wu, Q.-J., & Zhu, G.-R. (2006). The introduction of the exotic Q biotype of *Bemisia tabaci* from the Mediterranean region into China on ornamental crops. *The Florida Entomologist*, 89(2), 168–174. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4092462
- Cloyd, R. A., Galle, C. L., Keith, S. R., Kalscheur, N. A., & Kemp, K. E. (2009). Effect of commercially available plantderived essential oil products on arthropod pests. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, *102*(4), 1567–1579. doi:10.1603/029.102.0422
- Culliney, T. W. (2014). Crop losses to arthropods. Integrated Pest Management: Pesticide Problems, Vol. 3, 201-225. doi: :10.1007/978-94-007-7796-5 8
- Czosnek, H., Hariton-Shalev, A., Sobol, I., Gorovits, R., & Ghanim, M. (2017). The incredible journey of begomoviruses in their whitefly vector. *Viruses*, 9(10), 273. doi:10.3390/v9100273
- Dent, D. & Binks, R. H. (2020). Insect pest management. CABI.
- Fan, Z., Zhang, Z., Zhang, X., Kong, X., Liu, F., & Zhang, S. (2022). Five Visual and Olfactory Target Genes for RNAi in *Agrilus Planipennis. Frontiers in Genetics*, 13, 835324. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.835324
- Faulkner, C. & Robatzek, S. (2012). Plants and pathogens: Putting infection strategies and defense mechanisms on the map. Current Opinion in *Plant Biology*, 15(6), 699–707. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2012.08.009
- Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution*, 39(4), 783-791. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x
- French, A., Ali, Agha, M., Mitra, A., Yanagawa, A., Sellier, M. J., & Marion-Poll, F. (2015). Drosophila bitter taste(s). Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience, 9, 58. doi:10.3389/fnint.2015.00058
- Friedman, R. C., Farh, K. K. H., Burge, C. B. & Bartel, D. P. (2009). Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome research*, 19(1), 92-105. doi: 10.1101/gr.082701.108
- Geley, S. & Müller, C. (2004). RNAi: Ancient mechanism with a promising future. *Experimental Gerontology*, 39(7), 985– 998. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2004.03.040
- Gong, L., Luo, Q., Rizwan-ul-Haq, M., & Hu, M. Y. (2012). Cloning and characterization of three chemosensory proteins from *Spodoptera exigua* and effects of gene silencing on female survival and reproduction. *Bulletin of entomological research*, *102*(5), 600-609. doi: 10.1017/S0007485312000168
- Gong, P. P., Wei, X. G., Liu, S. N., Yang, J., Fu, B. L., Liang, J. J., ... & Zhang, Y. J. (2023). Novel\_miR-1517 mediates CYP6CM1 to regulate imidacloprid resistance in *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Gennadius). Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 194, 105469. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2023.105469
- Götz, M. & Winter, S. (2016). Diversity of *Bemisia tabaci* in Thailand and Vietnam and indications of species replacement. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 19(2), 537-543. doi: 10.1016/j.aspen.2016.04.017
- Griffiths-Jones, S., Grocock, R. J., van Dongen, S., Bateman, A., & Enright, A. J. (2006). miRBase: microRNA sequences, targets and gene nomenclature. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 34(Database issue), D140–D144. doi:10.1093/nar/gkj112

- Honson, N. S., Gong, Y., & Plettner, E. (2005). Structure and function of insect odorant and pheromone-binding proteins (OBPs and PBPs) and chemosensory-specific proteins (CSPs). *Recent Advances in Phytochemistry*, 39(05), 227-268. doi: 10.1016/S0079-9920(05)80010-3.
- Horowitz, A. R., Ghanim, M., Roditakis, E., Nauen, R., & Ishaaya, I. (2020). Insecticide resistance and its management in *Bemisia tabaci* species. *Journal of Pest Science*, 93(3), 893-910. doi:10.1007/s10340-020-01210-0
- Huang, W., Reyes-Caldas, P., Mann, M., Seifbarghi, S., Kahn, A., Almeida, R. P. P., Béven, L., Heck, M., Hogenhout, S. A., & Coaker, G. (2020). Bacterial vector-borne plant diseases: Unanswered questions and future directions. *Molecular Plant*, 13(10), 1379–1393. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2020.08.01
- Hunter, W. B. & Wintermantel, W. M. (2021). Optimizing efficient RNAi-mediated control of hemipteran pests (psyllids, leafhoppers, whitefly): Modified pyrimidines in dsRNA triggers. *Plants* (Basel, Switzerland), 10(9), 1782. doi:/10.3390/plants10091782
- Ibrahim, A. B., Monteiro, T. R., Cabral, G. B., & Aragão, F. J. L. (2017). RNAi-mediated resistance to whitefly (*Bemisia* tabaci) in genetically engineered lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). *Transgenic Research*, 26(5), 613–624. doi:10.1007/s11248-017-0035-0
- Inoue-Nagata, A. K., Lima, M. F. & Gilbertson, R. L. (2016). A review of geminivirus diseases in vegetables and other crops in Brazil: Current status and approaches for management. *Horticultura Brasileira*, 34(1), 8-18. doi:10.1590/s0102-053620160000100002
- Jones, D. R. (2003). Plant viruses transmitted by whiteflies. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 109, 195-219. doi: 10.1023/A:1022846630513
- Karanfil, A., Randa-Zelyüt, F. & Korkmaz, S. (2023). Population structure and genetic diversity of tobacco mild green mosaic virus variants in Western Anatolia of Turkey. *Physiological* and Molecular Plant Pathology, 125, 102008. doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2023.102008.
- Kashyap, A., Planas-Marquès, M., Capellades, M., Valls, M. & Coll, N. S. (2021). Blocking intruders: Inducible physicochemical barriers against plant vascular wilt pathogens. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 72(2), 184–198. doi:10.1093/jxb/eraa444
- Khan, I. A. & Wan, F. H. (2015). Life history of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) biotype B on tomato and cotton host plants. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 3(3), 117-121.
- Kozomara, A., Birgaoanu, M. & Griffiths-Jones, S. (2019). miRBase: From microRNA sequences to function. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(D1), D155–D162. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1141
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 35(6), 1547-1549. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096
- Leal, W. S. (2013). Odorant reception in insects: Roles of receptors, binding proteins, and degrading enzymes. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 58, 373–391. doi:10.1146/annurevento-120811-153635
- Letunic, I. & Bork, P. (2024). Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the phylogenetic tree display and annotation tool. *Nucleic Acids Research*, gkae268. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkae268
- Li, H., Zhang, A., Chen, L. Z., Zhang, G., & Wang, M. Q. (2014). Construction and analysis of cDNA libraries from the antennae of *Batocera horsfieldi* and expression pattern of putative odorant binding proteins. *Journal of Insect Science* (Online), 14, 57. doi:10.1093/jis/14.1.57

- Liu, N. Y., Zhang, T., Ye, Z. F., Li, F. & Dong, S. L. (2015). Identification and characterization of candidate chemosensory gene families from *Spodoptera exigua* developmental transcriptomes. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, *11*(9), 1036–1048. doi:10.7150/ijbs.12020
- Liu, Y., Gu, S., Zhang, Y., Guo, Y. & Wang, G. (2012). Candidate olfaction genes identified within the *Helicoverpa armigera* antennal transcriptome. *PLOS ONE*, 7(10), e48260. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048260
- Lu, S., Chen, M., Li, J., Shi, Y., Gu, Q., & Yan, F. (2019). Changes in *Bemisia tabaci* feeding behaviors caused directly and indirectly by cucurbit chlorotic yellows virus. *Virology Journal*, 16(1). doi:10.1186/s12985-019-1215-8
- Lucas, J. A. (2011). Advances in plant disease and pest management. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 149(S1), 91-114. doi:10.1017/s0021859610000997
- Luo Chen, L. C., Yao, Yuan Y. Y., Wang RongJiang, W. R., Yan FengMing, Y. F., Hu DunXiao, H. D. & Zhang ZhiLi, Z. Z. (2002). The use of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (mt CO I) gene sequences for the identification of biotypes of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) in China. Kun chong xue bao. *Acta entomologica Sinica*, 45(6), 757-763. doi: 10.3390/insects13100861
- Manoharan, M., Ng Fuk Chong, M., Vaïtinadapoulé, A., Frumence, E., Sowdhamini, R. & Offmann, B. (2013). Comparative genomics of odorant binding proteins in Anopheles gambiae, Aedes aegypti, and Culex quinquefasciatus. Genome biology and evolution, 5(1), 163-180. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evs131
- Marakli, S. (2020). In silico determination of transposon-derived miRNAs and targets in Aegilops species. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics, 38(10), 3098–3109. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2019.1654409
- McKenzie, S. K., Oxley, P. R. & Kronauer, D. J. (2014). Comparative genomics and transcriptomics in ants provide new insights into the evolution and function of odorant binding and chemosensory proteins. *BMC genomics*, 15, 1-14. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-718
- Morin, S., Atkinson, P. W. & Walling, L. L. (2024). Whitefly– Plant Interactions: An Integrated Molecular Perspective. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 69(1), 503-525. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-120120-093940
- Navas-Castillo, J., Fiallo-Olivé, E. & Sánchez-Campos, S. (2011). Emerging virus diseases transmitted by whiteflies. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 49, 219–248. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-072910-095235
- Nei, M. & Kumar, S. (2000). Molecular evolution and phylogenetics. Oxford university press. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780195135848.001.0001
- Pan, H., Li, X., Ge, D., Wang, S., Wu, Q., Xie, W., Jiao, X., Chu, D., Liu, B., Xu, B. & Zhang, Y. (2012). Factors affecting population dynamics of maternally transmitted endosymbionts in *Bemisia tabaci. PLOS ONE*, 7(2), e30760. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030760
- Pelosi, P., Zhou, J. J., Ban, L. P. & Calvello, M. (2006). Soluble proteins in insect chemical communication. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 63(14), 1658–1676. doi:10.1007/s00018-005-5607-0
- Pelosi, P., Iovinella, I., Felicioli, A. & Dani, F. R. (2014). Soluble proteins of chemical communication: an overview across arthropods. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 5, 320. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00320
- Perring, T. M., Stansly, P. A., Liu, T. X., Smith, H. A. & Andreason, S. A. (2018). Whiteflies: biology, ecology, and management. In Sustainable management of arthropod pests of tomato (pp. 73-110). *Academic Press*. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-802441-6.00004-8

- Qiao, H., Tuccori, E., He, X., Gazzano, A., Field, L., Zhou, J. J. & Pelosi, P. (2009). Discrimination of alarm pheromone (E)beta-farnesene by aphid odorant-binding proteins. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 39(5-6), 414–419. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.03.004
- Quesada-Moraga, E. E. A. A., Maranhao, E. A. A., Valverde-García, P. & Santiago-Álvarez, C. (2006). Selection of *Beauveria bassiana* isolates for control of the whiteflies *Bemisia tabaci* and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* on the basis of their virulence, thermal requirements, and toxicogenic activity. *Biological Control*, 36(3), 274-287. doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2005.09.022
- Randa-Zelyüt, F., Fox, A., & Karanfil, A. (2023). Population genetic dynamics of southern tomato virus from Turkey. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 105(1), 211-224. doi: 10.1007/s42161-022-01263-3
- Razze, J. M., Liburd, O. E., Nuessly, G. S. & Samuel-Foo, M. (2016). Evaluation of bioinsecticides for management of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and the effect on the whitefly predator *Delphastus catalinae* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in organic squash. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 109(4), 1766–1771. doi:10.1093/jee/tow108
- Rebijith, K. B., Asokan, R., Hande, H. R., Kumar, N. K., Krishna, V., Vinutha, J. & Bakthavatsalam, N. (2016). RNA interference of odorant-binding protein 2 (OBP2) of the cotton aphid, *Aphis* gossypii (Glover), resulted in altered electrophysiological responses. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 178(2), 251–266. doi:10.1007/s12010-015-1869-7
- Rodríguez, E., Téllez, M. M. & Janssen, D. (2019). Whitefly control strategies against tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus in greenhouse zucchini. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(15), 2673. doi:10.3390/ijerph16152673
- Saitou, N, Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 4, 406–425
- Shejulpatil, S. J., Kakad, M. N. & Lande, G. K. (2019). Effect of insecticides against whitefly on brinjal under field condition. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7, 1100-1103.
- Shen, X., Guo, J., Wan, F., Lü, Z., Guo, J. & Liu, W. (2024). Characterization and functions of temperature stressassociated microRNAs in invasive insect *Bemisia tabaci* Mediterranean cryptic species. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*. doi:10.1016/j.jia.2024.09.021
- Simmons, A. M. & Abd-Rabou, S. (2005). Incidence of parasitism of *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) in three vegetable crops after application of biorational insecticides.
- Simmons, A. M. & Jackson, D. M. (2000). Evaluation of foliarapplied insecticides on abundance of parasitoids of *Bemisia* argentifolii (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) in vegetables. *Journal* of Entomological Science, 35(1), 1-8. doi:10.18474/0749-8004-35.1.1
- Simmons, A. M., & Shaaban, A. R. (2011). Populations of predators and parasitoids of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) after the application of eight biorational insecticides in vegetable crops. *Pest Management Science*, 67(8), 1023–1028. doi:10.1002/ps.2155
- Solanki, R. D. & Jha, S. (2018). Population dynamics and biology of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius) on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus L.*). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 7(1S), 3055-3058.
- Stansly, P. A. & Naranjo, S. E. (Eds.). (2010). Bemisia: Bionomics and management of a global pest. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Sun, Y. F., De Biasio, F., Qiao, H. L., Iovinella, I., Yang, S. X., Ling, Y., ... &Pelosi, P. (2012). Two odorant-binding proteins mediate the behavioural response of aphids to the alarm pheromone (E)-β-farnesene and structural analogues. *PloS One*, 7(3), e32759. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032759

- Tunstall, N. E. & Warr, C. G. (2012). Chemical communication in insects: the peripheral odour coding system of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 739, 59–77. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-1704-0\_4
- Wang, Y., Pruitt, R. N., Nürnberger, T. & Wang, Y. (2022). Evasion of plant immunity by microbial pathogens. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 20(8), 449–464. doi:10.1038/s41579-022-00710-3
- Wang, R., Hu, Y., Wei, P., Qu, C. & Luo, C. (2020). Molecular and functional characterization of one odorant-binding protein gene OBP3 in Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Journal of economic entomology, 113(1), 299-305. doi: 10.1093/jee/toz248
- Waris, M. I., Younas, A., Ul Qamar, M. T., Hao, L., Ameen, A., Ali, S., Abdelnabby, H. E., Zeng, F. F. & Wang, M. Q. (2018). Silencing of chemosensory protein gene *NlugCSP8* by RNAi induces declining behavioral responses of *Nilaparvata lugens. Frontiers in Physiology*, 9, 379. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00379
- Xie, W., Chen, C., Yang, Z., Guo, L., Yang, X., Wang, D., Chen, M., Huang, J., Wen, Y., Zeng, Y., Liu, Y., Xia, J., Tian, L., Cui, H., Wu, Q., Wang, S., Xu, B., Li, X., Tan, X., Ghanim, M., ... & Zhang, Y. (2017). Genome sequencing of the sweetpotato whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* MED/Q. *GigaScience*, 6(5), 1–7. doi:10.1093/gigascience/gix018
- Zhou, J. J. (2010). Odorant-binding proteins in insects. *Vitamins* and Hormones, 83, 241–272. doi:10.1016/S0083-6729(10)83010-9
- Zhou, X. H., Ban, L. P., Iovinella, I., Zhao, L. J., Gao, Q., Felicioli, A., Sagona, S., Pieraccini, G., Pelosi, P., Zhang, L. & Dani, F. R. (2013). Diversity, abundance, and sex-specific expression of chemosensory proteins in the reproductive organs of the locust *Locusta migratoria manilensis*. *Biological Chemistry*, 394(1), 43–54. doi:10.1515/hsz-2012-0114
- Zubair, M., Khan, M. Z., Rauf, I., Raza, A., Shah, A. H., Hassan, I. & Mansoor, S. (2020). Artificial micro RNA (amiRNA)mediated resistance against whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) targeting three genes. *Crop Protection*, 137, 105308. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105308